1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
3	United States of America, No.
4	1:16-CV-03088-ELR Plaintiff,
5	vs.
6	State of Georgia,
7	Defendant.
8	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
9	
10	
11	
12	VIDEOTAPED ZOOM DEPOSITION OF
13	SONIA SHAUN OWEN
14	December 12, 2022
15	9:06 a.m.
16	Atlanta, Georgia
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Marcella Daughtry, RPR, RMR Georgia License No. 6595-1471-3597-5424
22	California CSR No. 14315
23	
24	
25	



APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
For the Plaintiff:
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MS. LAURA CASSIDY TAYLOE
MS. LAURA CASSIDI TATLOE MS. KELLY GARDNER WOMACK MS. VICTORIA LILL
MS. VICTORIA LILL MS. ANDREA HAMILTON MS. RENEE WOHLENHAUS
MS. RENEE WOHLENHAUS MS. MICHELLE TUCKER MS. CRYSTAL ADAMS
MS. CLAIRE CHEVRIER 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20579 202.305.6630
kelly.gardner@usdoj.gov andrea.hamilton@usdoj.gov
laura.tayloe@usdoj.gov
For the Defendant and the Witness:
ROBBINS FIRM
MS. MELANIE JOHNSON MS. ANNA EDMONDSON
MS. DANIELLE HERNANDEZ 500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318 404.856.3252
dhernandez@robbinsfirm.com melanie.johnson@robbinsfirm.com
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Also Present:
Sandra LeVert
Patrick Murphy, videographer Stacey Suber-Drake
Chantel Mullen
*** ALL PARTICIPANTS APPEARED REMOTELY ***



1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS: SONIA SHAUN OWEN	
3		
4	EXAMINATION	PAGE
5	BY MS. HAMILTON	6
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	* * *	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



[
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBITS		PAGE
3	Exhibit 695	Notice of deposition	8
4	Exhibit 696	Curriculum vitae of Shaun Owen	15
5			2.0
6	Exhibit 697	E-mail from Matt Jones to Matt Jones 10/9/2020	30
7		"Subject: Announcements" GA01852181 to 52182	
8	Exhibit 698	E-mail from Richard Woods to DOE Users 9/9/16	34
9		"Subject: Revised Organizational	
10		Chart and Strategic Plan" GA01764366 to 392	
11	Exhibit 699	E-mail from Matt Jones to Shaun Owen 3/31/19	43
12		"Subject: Fw: To Do List" GA00697832 to 7836	
13			0.0
14	Exhibit 700	E-mail chain from Shaun Owen to Vickie Cleveland 1/28/20	80
15		"Subject: RE: Introduction and Next Steps" GA00702975 to 2977	
16	Exhibit 701	E-mail from Shaun Owen to	83
17		Jaquenetta Dugger 4/29/20 "Subject: RE: GNETS"	
18		GA00707281 to 282	
19	Exhibit 702	E-mail from Vickie Cleveland to Shaun Owen 8/19/20	85
20		"Subject: Weekly agenda" GA05057496 to 7499	
21	Exhibit 703		1.61
22	EXNIBIT /03	Letter to Mr. Belinfante and Ms. Morris dated 5/23/22 from Andrea Hamilton	161
23			
24		* * *	
25			



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.
2	Today is Monday, December 12th, 2022, and the time is
3	9:06 a.m. Eastern Time. This begins the videoconference
4	deposition of Shaun Owen taken in the matter of United
5	States of America versus State of Georgia, Case Number
6	1:16-cv-03088-ELR, pending in the US District Court for
7	the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division.
8	My name is Patrick Murphy. I am the remote
9	videographer today. Our remote court reporter is Marcie
10	Daughtry, and we are both representing Esquire Deposition
11	Solutions.
12	If counsel could please introduce themselves
13	for the record, and then our court reporter will swear in
14	the witness.
15	MS. HAMILTON: Andrea Hamilton for the United
16	States.
17	MS. JOHNSON: Melanie Johnson for the State of
18	Georgia.
19	
20	SONIA SHAUN OWEN,
21	called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn
22	by the shorthand reporter to speak the truth and nothing
23	but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
24	>>>



>>>

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. HAMILTON:
3	Q Good morning, Ms. Owen. We'll get started with
4	your deposition. Again, how are you doing today?
5	A I'm fine. How are you?
6	Q I'm doing very well. Thank you very much.
7	My name is Andrea Hamilton, and I represent the
8	United States. I will be taking your deposition today.
9	Would you please state your full name for the
10	record.
11	A Sonia Shaun Owen.
12	Q I will be asking you a series of questions, and
13	you are under oath to provide complete and honest answers
14	to those questions. Do you understand?
15	A Yes.
16	Q If you do not understand a question that I ask,
17	you should feel free to let me know, and I will try to
18	rephrase or repeat the question. Okay?
19	A Okay.
20	Q If you are not sure of an answer or don't have
21	a complete answer, you also must still answer the
22	question to the extent that you can. Okay?
23	A Okay.
24	Q If you need a break at any point during the

deposition, please tell me or your attorney. We will let



you finish your answer, if you are in the midst of
answering your question, and then discuss when or if to
break. Also, we typically break probably every 90
minutes or so, and we will also take a lunch break
halfway through the deposition; but if you need a break
outside of those times, don't hesitate to let me or your
attorney know.

Does that make sense?

A Yes.

Q We are taking your deposition virtually via Zoom today, and as you can see, the court reporter is recording all that we are saying here. Because she can only record our words, please be sure to speak clearly and answer every question with a verbal response.

Do you understand?

A Yes.

Q Also, I want us to avoid talking over each other to the extent possible. I will try not to interrupt you when you are answering my questions, and likewise, I ask that you do your best to let me finish my questions before starting to answer. Okay?

A Okay.

Q Is there any reason that you can think of that you will not be able to answer my questions fully and truthfully today?



ES vs STATE OF GEORGIA	

A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HAMILTON: And I just want to note on the record that the United States and the State of Georgia have agreed that all objections except as to form and privilege will be reserved until trial.

MS. JOHNSON: Agreed.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: The exhibits for today's deposition will be shared electronically, and I am going to ask the court reporter to mark this first document that I'm about to share on the screen as Plaintiff's Exhibit 695.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 695 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Ms. Owen, will you let me know when you see a document that's on the screen?

A I can see it.

Q Okay. Great. So I am now showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 695. You can take a moment. I'm going to give you control. You can practice. Again, I will give you control of the document, and I will give you a moment to review it. Let me know when you are finished.

A Okay.

Q All right. So this is a notice to testify at a deposition in a civil action. The deposition notice is





1	Q Are you aware that this program is commonly
2	referred to as the "GNETS program"?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So if I use the term "GNETS," do you understand
5	that I am referring to the Georgia Network for
6	Educational and Therapeutic Support Program?
7	A Yes.
8	Q When did you first learn about the GNETS
9	litigation?
10	A I believe shortly after I took on the role as
11	deputy superintendent back in February or March of 2020.
12	Q What is your understanding of what this case is
13	about?
14	A It is about equal access and least restrictive
15	environment for for students with social, emotional
16	and behavioral challenges being integrated more into the
17	general ed setting and having equal access to resources
18	and supports.
19	Q I am going to ask you a few questions about
20	your preparation for this deposition. Please note that I
21	am not asking you to reveal the substance of any
22	communications that you had with your attorneys.
23	Generally, what did you do to prepare for this
24	deposition?

I looked over the resources that we have



Α

1	available on the GNETS website, and I reviewed my resume,
2	and I read back over some of the board rules and some of
3	the other documents that we have listed on the website.
4	Q And when you said you looked at some of the
5	resources and documents on the website, what are those
6	what were those documents and resources?
7	A The board rule, the strategic plan, the listing
8	of the GNETS site, the FAQ.
9	Q Were there any other documents that you
10	reviewed?
11	A Not that I can recall at this time.
12	Q Did you bring any of those documents with you
13	to today's deposition?
14	A No.
15	Q In preparation for the deposition, did you meet
16	with counsel?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Without going into the substance of what
19	you discussed, who was present during the meeting?
20	A Stacey Suber-Drake and Melanie Johnson, and
21	then at a different time, Anna Edmondson.
22	Q Okay. So were there two two meetings?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And approximately how long did those meetings
25	last?



1	A I believe the one with Anna Edmondson may have
2	been about 45 minutes, and the one with Anna and Stacey
3	Suber-Drake I believe was maybe ten minutes.
4	Q Okay. And just to confirm, the one with Stacey
5	Suber-Drake was also with Melanie Johnson?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. And you said that was ten minutes?
8	A Approximately.
9	Q Okay. Did you speak to anyone else besides the
10	attorneys in preparation for the deposition?
11	A Not that I can recall.
12	Q Did you do anything else to prepare?
13	A I looked over the 2015/2016 document, I think
14	that the original document from the DOJ.
15	Q Do you recall if that document was the letter
16	of findings from the United States?
17	A I believe so.
18	Q Were there any other documents that or
19	anything else that you did to prepare that we haven't
20	already discussed?
21	A Not that I can recall.
22	Q Have you ever had your deposition taken before?
23	A No.
24	Q All right. Before we get too far into the

deposition, I also wanted to walk through some acronyms



1	and abbreviations that I may be using today for brevity.
2	I'll run through a few of those now to make sure we're on
3	the same page.
4	If I say "Georgia DOE" or the "State DOE," do
5	you will you know that I am referring to the Georgia
6	Department of Education?
7	A Yes.
8	Q If I say the "State Board" or the "Georgia
9	Board," will you understand that I am referring to the
10	State Board of Education?
11	A Yes.
12	Q If I use the acronym "DBHDD," will you
13	understand that I am referring to the Georgia Department
14	of Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities?
15	A Yes.
16	Q If I say "DCH," do you understand that that is
17	short for Georgia Department of Community Health?
18	A Yes.
19	Q If I use "LEA," will you understand that I am
20	referring to local education agency?
21	A Yes.
22	Q If I say "RESA," will you understand that I am
23	referring to Regional Educational Service Agency?
24	A Yes.
25	Q If I say "GNETS centers," will you understand



1	that I am referring to the stand-alone GNETS facility
2	location?
3	A Yes.
4	Q In contrast, if I use the term "GNETS
5	school-based location," will you understand that I am
6	referring to the GNETS locations that are based in
7	general education school settings?
8	A Yes.
9	Q If I say "EBD," will you understand that I am
10	referring to the disability category of emotional and
11	behavioral disabilities?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And then finally, if I refer to "general
14	education settings," will you understand that I am
15	referring to public schools in Georgia where children
16	with EBD and other behavioral health conditions are able
17	to receive instruction and services alongside children
18	who do not have disabilities?
19	A (No oral response.)
20	Q And I'm sorry, did you say yes?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. There's a slight delay in the sound and
23	the video. Thank you.
24	A Yes.

Okay. I'm going to put another document on the



Q

1	screen, and I would like for the court reporter to mark
2	this next document as Plaintiff's Exhibit 696.
3	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 696 was marked for
4	<pre>identification.)</pre>
5	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Ms. Owen, I am now showing
6	you Plaintiff's Exhibit 696. This is a copy of the
7	resume for you that we received from counsel. I'm going
8	to give you a moment just to scroll through just to
9	confirm that this is a document that you are familiar
10	with.
11	Let me know first of all, let me give you
12	control, and then let me know once you have scrolled
13	through and you're ready.
14	A I'm sorry. I didn't know if you were waiting
15	on me or not.
16	Q Okay. Yes, I just wanted confirmation when you
17	are ready.
18	A I'm sorry. Yes. Yes, I'm ready. Sorry.
19	Q Okay. Fantastic.
20	Do you recognize this document?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Is this an accurate and true version of your
23	resume currently?
24	A No. This is this is not a current resume.

This is, I believe, the last resume that I -- that I have



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

ha	d,	but	Ι	have	not	upda	ated	it	since	taking	my	role.	Ι
do	n't	ty	pi	cally	keep	my	resi	ıme	update	ed.			

Q That -- that's fine, and that's not a problem.

I just want to make sure we get on the record what has changed since this was created.

So the title of the document that we received was Shaun Owen resume December 2019. Would you -- would you say that that is an accurate time frame for when this resume would have been created?

- A Not created but updated.
- Q Okay. And so since that time period, what additional positions have you held, if any?
 - A So -- so in February or March of 2020, I took on the role of deputy superintendent of federal programs.
 - Q And do you remember exactly when you took on the role as deputy superintendent?
 - A It was February or March.
 - Q Okay. But you don't remember a specific day?
- 19 A I -- I don't, no.
 - Q Okay.
 - A I believe February, because March the pandemic came about, so...
 - Q Okay. Great. And would it also be accurate to then conclude that the other positions that state

 "present," like 2019 to present or 2016 to present, that



1	those positions ended?
2	A Yes, that's correct.
3	Q All right. That is helpful. Thank you.
4	I want to start by discussing your educational
5	background, which I know is also included here in your
6	resume, as well.
7	My first question for you is, when sorry,
8	where did you obtain your undergraduate degree?
9	A Augusta State University.
10	Q Okay. What year?
11	A 1995.
12	Q And in what field?
13	A Middle grades education, a bachelor of art's
14	degree.
15	Q Okay. And as we are going through, if there is
16	anything that's that you recall that's inconsistent
17	with what's on the resume, don't hesitate to let me know.
18	After you obtained your undergraduate degree,
19	what professional or graduate degrees did you obtain?
20	A A master's in educational leadership from Troy
21	State and a specialist degree in educational leadership
22	from Lincoln Memorial.
23	Q Okay. When did you obtain those degrees?
24	A 2002 for the master's. 2003 for the



specialist.

1	Q And what is the focus of a master degree
2	program in educational leadership?
3	A It teaches you about going into administration
4	and leading from the leading, what is it, the
5	principal or district level or state level leadership in
6	education.
7	Q Okay. What is the difference between the
8	master degree program in educational leadership and the
9	specialist degree program in educational leadership?
10	A They just they build off one another, so the
11	specialist degree is an additional typically, it's an
12	additional two years, depending on the program that
13	you're in, but it just builds on the master's degree.
14	Q Do you have any other professional or graduate
15	degrees?
16	A No.
17	Q Do you have any professional licenses or
18	certificates in the area of education generally?
19	A I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
20	Q Sure. Do you have any professional licenses or
21	certificates in education generally?
22	A Licenses or certificates, what what do you

Just as an educator or just being in the

education field, are there any like non-degrees but



mean exactly?

23

24

1	licenses,	like	teaching	licenses	or	

A Oh.

Q -- certificates?

A Okay. No, I mean, there is a number of courses that I have taken or classes, training, professional learning, but they're usually not certificates, no.

Q Or any licenses or certificates that are recognized by the State Department of Education?

A Yes, I have a -- I think it's a T4 for the bachelor's. It's been a while. And a L4 and a L6, I believe, are the -- the -- what you hold for your license.

Q Okay. And can you speak a little bit more about what a P -- what the -- I think you said P4, L4 and L6, what each of those stand for?

A T, yeah, sorry. It's the -- the level of the degree, so -- and it's been a -- it's been a number of years, but your bachelor's degree you have to pass a GACE in whatever, so that's a -- a test that Georgia has for licensing teachers, so you have to pass that particular GACE for whatever it is that you are trying to get a license or certificate in. And the same is true for your leadership degrees, and the L just stands for leadership and the -- the level.

Q Okay. So just to clarify, are each of those



1	designations associated with a degree that you've
2	received?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And so the T4 is associated with your
5	bachelor's degree, the L4 with the master's, and the L6
6	with your specialist degree?
7	A Well, it's so it's the degree plus passing
8	the the test, the state test that goes along with
9	that.
10	Q Okay. So thank you for clarifying that.
11	Do you have any professional or graduate
12	degrees in the area of special education?
13	A Degrees, no.
14	Q Yes. Do you have any licenses or certificates
15	in the area of special education?
16	A No. That would be the the same. No.
17	Q Do you have any other credentials in the area
18	of special education?
19	A Credentials, no.
20	Q Do you have any formal training in working with
21	students with disabilities?
22	A I do have I yes. I'm trying to think
23	through the last number of years. So I have always
24	worked closely with special education teachers, and I

have always had students with disabilities in my



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

classrooms, and I have worked with, at the state level, the GAA assessment and the curricular part of special education for accommodations for some of the work that -that they've done.

Okay. So it sounds like you've had experience working with students with disabilities and with teachers in the area of special education. Did you receive any formal training specific to working with students with disabilities?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. As part of my -- as part of my undergrad.

BY MS. HAMILTON: And what did that look like?

A course with -- in special education, and then practicums with special education students. And as part of my work with the undergrad in sociology, I spent -- I did several practicums with students with disabilities over there in different camp-type settings. One of those was Camp Future, which was general ed and special education students together. Another was two years with a camp where I was learning sign language. It was a deaf and hard of hearing camp, which was the reason I changed my major from sociology to -- to education.

Q Okay. All right. And then since graduating



1	from your undergraduate college, have you had any formal
2	training in working with students with disabilities?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
4	You can answer.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay. I would have to go back
6	and look. I have had taken a number of classes and
7	professional development courses, so I can't over the
8	last 20 something years, so I can't think right off the
9	top of my head of specific courses.
10	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. So it sounds like you
11	say you've taken courses in professional development.
12	Just to confirm, none of those courses of professional
13	development resulted in any licenses or formal
14	A Right.
15	Q certificates? Okay.
16	A And I shouldn't say courses. I'm sorry. I
17	should say training or classes. Courses is more formal.
18	Q Okay. All right. So as you noted earlier, you

- Q Okay. All right. So as you noted earlier, you currently serve as the deputy superintendent of federal programs at the Georgia DOE; is that correct?
 - A Correct.

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And you've been in that position since approximately February 2020; is that correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. Who held that position before you?



1	A	Nakeba Rahming.
2	Q	Did you have to apply for the position of
3	deputy s	uperintendent of federal programs?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Who, if anyone, interviewed you for the
6	position	?
7	A	Let me think back. Faya Paul, Matt Jones, and
8	there wa	s a third person. I can't recall who the third
9	person i	s at this time.
10		(Court reporter clarification.)
11	Q	BY MS. HAMILTON: What was Faya Paul's position
12	at the t	ime?
13	A	I believe she was director in school and
14	district	effectiveness.
15	Q	Who was Matt Jones?
16	A	He's the chief of staff.
17	Q	Are they both still in those positions?
18	A	Well, Faya is deceased, and Matt Jones is still
19	in his p	osition.
20	Q	And you said you don't remember the name of the
21	third pe	rson; is that correct?
22	A	No, I don't.
23	Q	Did they tell you why you were chosen for the
24	position	?
25	A	Well, real quick, just if I could clarify, the



position isn't just special education. So federal programs, special education is only part of the role. A large percentage of the role is over most all of the ESSA programs, just to clarify that point.

Did they tell me why I -- sorry, let me think back for a second. It's been -- it's been --

Q That's fine. Take your time.

A We've been through a pandemic. I'm sorry, let me just think through.

From my understanding, it was implementing the superintendent's goals and initiatives and creating the consolidation of funds initiatives with additional flexibility and resources and support and being able to move federal programs forward according to the superintendent's vision.

Q So just to repeat my last question, it was, did they -- when -- when you were interviewed for the position and were hired, did they tell you why you specifically were chosen for this position?

A Sorry, I'm thinking back. So I think it was a phone call to say that I have gotten the position, and I think it was just the work that I had previously done in federal programs overall and being able to -- yeah, the work that I had done in federal programs and the success that I had had with the initiatives, and -- I'm trying



1	to -	- 6	and	implementing	the	superintendent's	vision.
---	------	-----	-----	--------------	-----	------------------	---------

- Q Okay. In your current role, who do you report to?
 - A Matt Jones.
- Q Okay. And I guess just to make sure we're using the term report similarly, is he your former -- my apologies. Is he your formal supervisor?
- A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. Does he perform performance evaluations for you?
- 11 A I don't believe we've received any formal evaluations.
 - Q Okay. Have you received one from anyone else?
 - A Yes. The -- in my previous roles, I had received evaluations from Craig Geers, who was the associate superintendent, and Bill Crenshaw, who was a program manager when I first started.
 - Q Okay. But so far in your current role as deputy superintendent, you haven't received a performance evaluation?
 - A Not a -- not a formal performance evaluation.
 - Q Okay. As the deputy superintendent for the State Georgia Board of Education, do you routinely meet with the State Board of Education for any reason?
 - A No.



1	Q Do you regularly meet with the superintendent
2	in your current position?
3	A No.
4	Q Do you regularly meet with Matt Jones in his
5	capacity as the chief of staff in your current position?
6	A It's not a regular set meeting, but yes, we
7	communicate we communicate as needed.
8	Q In addition to Mr. Jones serving as your
9	supervisor, what other types of things would you discuss
LO	in meeting with him?
L1	A Any anything regarding any of the federal
L2	programs, so whether that was any anything regarding
L3	ESSA or grant funding, possibly new allocations coming
L4	down. If there was an issue of some sort, I would touch
L5	base with him. Yeah, any updates that I need to share
L6	with him as well.
L7	Q Okay. Do you regularly meet with the other
L8	deputy superintendents?
L9	A By regularly, you mean a set meeting?
20	Q Yes, just a reoccurring or
21	A Or
22	Q set meeting.
23	A No. Well, wait.
24	Q Are there opportunities I was gonna say, are



there other opportunities --

1	A	I'm	sorry,	let	me	qo	back.

Q Sure, go ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

A Let me back up on that one. I'm sorry.

We do meet every -- every two weeks the direct reports, I'm sorry, do meet, and the superintendent and Matt are at those meetings.

- Q Okay. Do those meetings have a -- a particular name?
 - A I think it's biweekly leadership meetings.
 - Q What is discussed during those meetings?
- A Any updates from the superintendent or Matt Jones or communications or the ESSER funding or anything that -- anything programmatically or division-wise that anybody is doing or wants to talk about; or if there is updated trending or something coming out, demonstration of that, as well.
- Q Okay. Who sets the agenda for the biweekly leadership meetings?
- A I -- I don't know.
- Q Can you, as a deputy superintendent, propose items to add to the agenda?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Is the GNETS program ever a topic that is on the agenda in the biweekly leadership meetings?
 - A Not that -- not that I can recall.



1	Q Okay. And I also want to talk about the
2	structure of the federal programs. Making sure I'm using
3	the right terminology, do you refer to where you work, is
4	that an office, a department? Is there a proper term
5	when I refer to the federal federal program?
6	A Just probably division is fine.
7	Q Division, okay.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry to interrupt.
9	Real quickly, I just want to see if we are through with
10	the image of the document on the screen for now?
11	MS. HAMILTON: No. Well, we are about to
12	switch to a different one, but we're we're still using
13	it.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Sorry. Go ahead.
15	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. So within your
16	division for federal programs, is the GNETS program one
17	of the programs that falls under your office's
18	supervision?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
20	You can answer.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, GNETS is so the GNETS
22	program manager and program specialist are yes, they
23	are part of federal programs.
24	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And why do their roles



fall under federal programs?

1	A Because it's part of because the students
2	served are students with disabilities.
3	Q And if you can elaborate a bit further, I just
4	want to make sure I understand the connection. So to the
5	extent that the GNETS program manager and specialist are
6	working with a program that serves students with
7	disabilities, why would that fall under federal programs?
8	A Because federal programs is includes the
9	ESSA programs and IDEA and the state allotments relative
10	to students with disabilities.
11	Q Okay. And so you mentioned those two
12	individuals. Who is currently the GNETS program manager?
13	A Vickie Cleveland.
14	Q And who is currently the GNETS specialist?
15	A LaKesha Stevens.
16	Q And is that LaKesha Stevenson or LaKesha
17	Stevens?
18	A It Stevenson?
19	Q Did you say LaKesha Stevenson?
20	A It may be Stevenson. Sorry.
21	Q Have their positions always been under the
22	federal programs division?
23	A Since I've been in in federal programs, I
24	believe they've been. I think ESSA and IDEA have been
25	under federal programs. I don't I don't know when



Q Okay. And I just want to make sure from a visual standpoint that I also have a clear picture of how your division -- like what falls underneath your division. So I'm going to share another document with you.

I'd like for the court reporter -- let me make sure this is on the screen. I'd like the court reporter to mark this next document as Plaintiff's -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 697.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 697 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And Ms. Owen, I am now showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 697. This is an October 9th, 2020 e-mail from Matt Jones with the subject line "Announcements." The "To" line also lists Matt Jones' name. It's not clear if this was sent out like as a blind carbon copy document or not, but the main thing I'm going to have you focus on is the attachment.

For the record, the Bates number on this document has -- for the first page is GA01852181. And as I mentioned, there's also an attachment.

Ms. Owen, I am going to give you control of the screen in a moment so that you can scroll through just to



1	become f	amiliar with what's on the screen, and then when
2	you are	ready, let me know.
3	А	Okay.
4	Q	And again, the main thing I will be focused on
5	during t	his discussion is on the attachment, which is
6	page 2.	
7	A	Okay.
8	Q	All right. And I want to make sure you can
9	also see	this document clearly.
10		All right. So focusing on the attachment, do
11	you reco	gnize this document?
12	A	I do.
13	Q	Okay. What is this document?
14	A	It's the org chart for the Department of Ed.
15	Q	Okay. And I want to note for the record, at
16	the bott	om, this particular document has an effective
17	date of	October 9th, 2020.
18		Ms. Owen, do you see that?
19	A	I
20	Q	It's in the bottom left corner.
21	A	There's there's no way that I can scroll
22	up and d	own, but I can't I can't scroll over.
23	Q	There's a bar at the very bottom of the screen.
24	Okay.	

I don't -- I don't know if the zoom thing is



1	covering up the bar. I know typically I can see that. I
2	can't. It's not popping up, so
3	Q Here, I can show you. I'm going to make it a
4	little larger, and then here at the bottom there's I
5	don't know if you see this gray bar that I'm moving back
6	and forth, but that will let you control it
7	A Yes.
8	Q from left to right.
9	A Let me see. I don't.
10	MS. JOHNSON: It may be covered by the
11	THE WITNESS: I think it's covered by the zoom.
12	If there's a way to there was a way to minimize that.
13	MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, this does look different
14	than on my screen.
15	MS. HAMILTON: Oh, okay. I didn't realize your
16	view was different.
17	MS. JOHNSON: Well, just on my screen, I can
18	see the bar, but on her's, it's not there. That is
19	strange.
20	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. That is strange. Okay.
21	No worries.
22	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: The main thing I just wanted
23	to
24	A I could probably just minimize.
25	Q Yeah. If you minimize it, I just wanted to



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- give you a chance to confirm the date that was in the bottom left corner.
 - A Yeah, I can't -- I can't see it.
- Q Okay. Let me see if I can adjust it for you.

 Can you see -- can you see the bottom left corner now

 where the mouse is?
- 7 A Let me move. Hold on. No, I -- I can't see it 8 on mine.
- 9 Q Okay. Let me see if I can move this up. Okay.

 10 Can you see it now?
- 11 A There you go. Now I can see, yes. Yes, I can 12 see it.
- Q Okay. My apologies that the -- your -- the view that you have is different from -- from what I can see.
 - All right. So just to confirm for the record, given that confusion there, can you please confirm that the date on this document has -- that this document has an effective date of October 9th, 2020?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And I want to focus our time just looking at the part of the organizational chart for federal programs, and I just want to give you a brief moment to look at this to confirm whether this is -- these programs still fall within your division.



1	A So one change on here is that MTSS is now part	
2	of the Division of Whole Child.	
3	Q Thank you. Are there any other changes?	
4	A That's the that's the only thing that I see.	
5	Q And the Georgia Network for Educational and	
6	Therapeutic Support Program, or GNETS, is also listed	
7	within your division; is that correct?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And is that referencing the individuals who you	
10	mentioned a moment ago who serve as the GNETS program	
11	manager and specialist?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q I'm going to stop sharing. Okay.	
14	All right. I just put a new document on the	
15	screen that I would like for the court reporter to mark	
16	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 698.	
17	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 698 was marked for	
18	identification.)	
19	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And Ms. Owen, I am now	
20	showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 698. This is a	
21	September 9th, 2016 e-mail from superintendent Richard	
22	Woods to DOE "DOE Users" and "Other Agency Users" with	
23	a subject line, "Revised Revised Organizational Chart	

and Strategic Plan." The Bates number on the first page

is GA01764366, and this document has an attachment.



24

1	Ms. Owen, you should have control, just to take	
2	a brief moment, and again, I want to focus on the	
3	attachment for	
4	A Okay. Okay.	
5	Q So scrolling down to the attachment, I want to	
6	confirm, first of all, the title here says, "Georgia	
7	Department of Education, GaDOE Organizational Chart	
8	Revised September 1st, 2016."	
9	Do you see that?	
10	A I do.	
11	Q Okay. I also want to confirm, if you see here	
12	near the bottom of the organizational chart, there is a	
13	box for Georgia Network of Education and Therapeutic	
14	Supports (GNETS). It says here, Director, Nakeba Rahming	
15	at the time.	
16	Do you see where GNETS is located on the	
17	organizational chart here?	
18	A Oh, okay. Let me I do.	
19	Q Okay. So according to this org chart, were you	
20	aware that the GNETS program did not always fall under	
21	federal programs?	
22	A No.	
23	Q Okay. And do you see here that the GNETS	
24	director during this time period in 2016 reported	



directly to Matt Jones, the chief of staff?

SONIA SHAUN OWEN
UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

Α	Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Do you know what prompted the change in the reporting structure such that the GNETS director no longer reports to Matt Jones?

I do not. Α

Is there any reason that you think there is a benefit to the GNETS program director directing -- sorry, the GNETS program director reporting directly to Matt Jones, the chief of staff?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry, would you -would you repeat the question?

BY MS. HAMILTON: Sure. Can you see any benefit to the GNETS director reporting directly to the chief of staff, Matt Jones?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I mean, I really don't want to speculate about what the benefit would be because I don't even -- I don't know why it moved to begin with.

BY MS. HAMILTON: I'm going to stop sharing my screen.

And Ms. Owen, can you speak briefly to your duties and responsibilities as the deputy superintendent of federal programs?



A I oversee around 1 point billion dollars of federal funding that comes through the various ESSA programs and IDEA. I oversee about 130 staff members as part of that. We have to make sure that we are adhering to federal guidelines for the -- for the grant. We monitor and oversee the grants and provide technical assistance and support to the LEAs and the sub grant recipients as part of what we do.

Look for ways to -- to implement flexibility where we can to better support the districts under the superintendent's vision and mission, and that ties back to what you had mentioned earlier. So one of the reasons that I assume the role was growing, consolidation of funds from a few small districts to over 40 something across the state with that, additional aspects of consolidation were for federal programs out of the guidance that we received from U.S. Ed on that.

We run the allocations through the -- for approval through the State Board. We do all of our end-of-year reporting for the grant to the U.S.

Department of Education; develop resources; training; oversee the -- the funds; ensuring adherence to the federal regulations for each of the individual grants, all unique with their own set of requirements; and make sure that we're -- we are hitting all of our targets and



reporting requirements for -- for the grants that we oversee.

Q Thank you.

As deputy superintendent, do you have any job responsibilities that specifically involve GNETS?

MS. JOHNSON: Form.

THE WITNESS: Well, it -- it falls under federal programs, so as far as job responsibilities, I'm just trying to think how to -- I mean, yes, as far as that's part of the oversight of the -- the funds. We have the application process that flows through us, and that -- the grants management piece, the reporting out and that all -- I mean, ultimately, that all falls under my shop.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. So it sounds like there are a number of grant-related responsibilities and reporting responsibilities. Are there any others?

A Well, I mean, part of it is -- is the -- the -- you know, the visits. But as far as what I -- my actual role in it is more that Vickie, you know, does her responsibilities as part of her role and then ultimately reports to Wina Low, the director of special education, and then Wina reports to me. But Vickie is not my actual direct report, but it's just part of the overall program.

Q Okay. That's helpful.



And a moment ago you said some of your
responsibilities pertained to this, and you said like the
visits. What were you referring to?
A Just, I mean, part of part of what I had to
assume as part of this role is the the the
litigation aspect, which is yeah.
Q And what are the expectations for you as deputy
superintendent as it pertains to the litigation aspects
as you just referred to?
A Well, any anything that is sent from the DOJ
regarding anything that we have to provide for you all,
either Wina Low or myself to attend the site visits,
along with the DOJ staff that goes to that, and any, you
know, additional discussions that we might have with our
lawyers relative to to GNETS.
Q All right. I want to turn back to your resume

now. I want to share my screen again.

And this was Plaintiff's Exhibit 696. Let me just... Ms. Owen, do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 696, your resume, on the screen?

Α Yes.

Okay. All right. I want to discuss some of the other positions you've held with the Georgia Department of Education. What position or positions did you hold immediately before you became deputy



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I was in three different roles at the time. I was director of consolidated federal initiatives, state ombudsman, the role that came out of ESSA, and deputy chief of staff.

Q And let's take each of those one at a time, and let's start with the deputy chief of staff. What was the time frame that you served as deputy chief of staff?

A 2019 until I assumed the role of deputy superintendent of federal programs.

Q How did you obtain that position?

A The -- how did I obtain the role of deputy chief of staff?

O Yes.

A Okay. I was appointed by Matt Jones.

Q Prior to you serving in that role, had there previously been a deputy chief -- chief of staff?

A No, no. Well, let me -- to my knowledge, not that I recall.

Q And did Mr. Jones tell you why you were chosen for that role or appointed to that role?

A Because of being -- because of the work that I had done in federal programs with consolidation, growing and -- growing that program, which along with the superintendent's vision and mission, and the -- I'm sure



the work that I had done as the role of ombudsman, and it
was both at the the state and national level, and
and just seeing how I could streamline policies and
procedures and be successful in in implementing the
the flexibility with federal programs that the that
the superintendent was wanting as part of his strategic
plan.

- Q Who did you report to when you served as the deputy chief of staff?
 - A Matt Jones.
- Q Okay. Was there anyone else that you reported to directly?
- A Well, I was split-funded, so I was reporting for -- for my role under -- for my role with director of consolidated federal initiatives and state ombudsman, that was -- that role still reported to Craig Geers, and then -- for half of the time, and then the other half of the time was reporting to Matt Jones.

And essentially it was just -- I had been very successful with the -- with the initiatives that I was overseeing.

- Q Did your position as the deputy chief of staff fall within any particular offices or departments?
- A I don't think so. I -- I believe that -- I believe you -- the org chart, I think it shows as a



7' '			3.6	_
direct	report	to	Matt	Jones.

- Q Okay. In your role as deputy chief of staff, did you supervise anyone?
 - A No.

Q What were your core responsibilities as deputy chief of staff?

A Looking at initiatives across the agency; working with multiple divisions across the agency; streamlining processes and procedures; looking at efficiency and effectiveness; implementing the superintendent's vision; developing the -- looking for areas that we needed to work on our processes, like the -- the travel course that you see right there; and pulling together various divisions across the agency.

Q I want to ask you a few follow-up questions about some of the things that you just stated and also on your resume.

In this first bullet point on your resume where it says you supported the work of the superintendent and the chief of staff, what did that job responsibility entail on a day-to-day basis?

A Anything that they might want implemented or looked at across the agency, employing various stakeholders to the table to try to resolve any -- I won't say resolve any issues. Streamline any processes



or	work	on	anything	that	needed	to	sort	of	be	approved	at
an	agen	су]	level.								

Q Okay. In supporting the work of the superintendent and the chief of staff, were there ever issues involving the GNETS program that rose to that level?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. I'm going to share a new document that I would like for the court reporter to mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 699.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 699 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And Ms. Owen, I am now showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 699. This is a March 31st, 2019 e-mail from Matt Jones to Shaun Owen with the subject, "Forward: To Do List." That includes a forwarded message and an attachment. The Bates number at the bottom of the first page is GA00697832.

I will give you a moment. Let me give you control just to scroll through to familiarize yourself with what's on the screen. Let me know when you are ready.

A Okay. All right. Give me one second.



1	Q Okay.
2	A Did you I'm sorry, did you want me to scroll
3	down?
4	Q Yes. You can scroll down and look at the
5	attachment as well.
6	A Okay.
7	Q And let me know when you're ready.
8	A Okay.
9	Q I'm going to return to the top of this
10	document. Do you recognize this document?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did you receive this document in your capacity
13	as the deputy chief of staff?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And to the extent that it says, "Forward: To Do
16	list," was the "To Do List" a reoccurring document that
17	you received in this role?
18	A Not that I recall.
19	Q Okay. Why did you receive this particular Work
20	To Do List?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
22	You can answer.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay. I I think it was to
24	give me an idea of just sort of the the scope of some
25	of the things that the superintendent was looking at



1	agency wide.
2	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: The cover e-mail from Matt
3	Jones says, "We'll go over this on Monday/Tuesday too."
4	Did you have a meeting with Matt Jones to
5	discuss this document?
6	A We did have we did have a meeting and looked
7	over the document, I think.
8	Q Okay. Did anyone else participate in that
9	meeting?
10	A No.
11	Q Okay. I want to scroll down to the To Do List
12	and look at item number 78, which says, "GNETS: FBA/BIP,"
13	or B-I-P, "Online Learning Modules."
14	What does this item refer to?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
16	You can answer.
17	THE WITNESS: I'm assuming, because nothing
18	sticks out at me, it's a list of 100 different things.
19	I I would be speculating about that. I'm assuming to
20	develop online modules for FBAs and BIPs, but again, I
21	don't recall anything specific about about GNETS
22	coming from that meeting.
23	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: To the extent that this GNETS

item about the FBA/BIP online learning modules is on the

To Do List that the superintendents had provided to Matt



24

SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

1	Jones, would that have indicated this was an area of
2	interest for the superintendent?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
4	You can answer.
5	THE WITNESS: Again, I'm I'm speculating
6	that if it's on the list, then it's something that the
7	superintendent would be you know, of interest to the
8	superintendent.
9	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Do you remember if any
10	specific action was taken with regard to this item?
11	A I do not remember. I can I seem to recall
12	Vickie mentioning that they may have hired a contractor.
13	I don't know if it was specifically for online modules,
14	but for some type of training for the FBA and the BIP.
15	Q Okay.
16	A But not in not in this role. This is much
17	later.
18	Q And do you remember if GNETS was discussed in
19	the context of any of the other items that are on this To
20	Do List?
21	A I don't recall GNETS coming up.
22	Q And near the end there is a bullet point that
23	just says "Nakiba." Do you remember what the topic of
24	discussion was with regard to "Nakiba"?
25	A No, but a point of clarification, just because



it's on here does not mean we went over all of these points. I think at that time Nakeba may have been out on medical leave, but I'm -- again, I'm -- I don't want to speculate.

But yeah, we didn't -- and again, I'm trying to go back three years to remember this, but I do not think we -- I think there was a list, but as far as actually going over each one of these points, I don't -- I do not think that -- I don't think we did that at all.

Q Okay. All right. I'd like to return back to your resume, which was Plaintiff's Exhibit 696. Let me know when you see it on the screen.

A I see it.

Q Okay. And I want to talk through a few more of the bullet points listed here for your deputy chief of staff position. There is another one here. This is the second bullet point that says, "Work with Human Resources, Policy and General Counsel to update and improve policies and procedures across the Georgia DOE."

What did that particular responsibility entail?

A So with human resources, we were trying to -we had some antiquated processes that were time-consuming
and in a paper format, so we were looking at ways of
getting our processes more streamlined and in a
electronic format regarding leave and regarding the -- I



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe it was that I'm trying to think the hiring
process, to try to see if we can get those those
processes streamlined.
Policy and again, it's been a few years I

would think that that's also to update some of the processes and procedures that were in place and look at updating any of the policies -- excuse me -- that we -that we had in place.

And general counsel, I cannot recall, but anything -- sometimes there is just an overlap with those three -- those three divisions.

Okay. Did any of your work in carrying out 0 this responsibility pertain to the GNETS program?

Not that I recall.

I want to skip down to the bullet point that says "Participate in all Cabinet meetings to provide input and update the Chief of Staff."

Who participates in the cabinet meetings?

It -- well, it's grown a little bit over the Any deputy superintendent, associate superintendent. We have directors, and budget, policy, and sometimes it could be a program manager or a program specialist, depending on the item or the topic for discussion. And general counsel participates, and possibly the -- the CFO, the finance in general.



1	Q	Okay.	Are	tl	nese	mee	etings	s dis	stinct	from	the
biwee	kly	leade	ership	me	eetin	ngs	that	you	mentio	oned	
parti	cipa	ating	in as	a	depı	ıty	super	rinte	endent	earli	ler?
	A	They	are.								

Q Okay. What was your role as deputy chief of staff during the meetings, the cabinet meetings?

A So the chief of staff can't be in a lot of those meetings, so essentially I would just listen to the items being discussed, whether that's board items or rules for policy, and then report back to him on any updates, or there was a potential issue, and if need be, I might weigh in, but -- but usually it's to -- to keep the chief of staff informed.

Q How frequently did the cabinet meetings take place?

A Cabinet is every Thursday.

Q Was GNETS ever a topic during the cabinet meetings?

A I really can't say because -- well, it would -- it would definitely come up, because there's a state and federal allocation that goes to GNETS. So if there is an allocation that goes out, if it's going to go to board, then it would go to -- it would go to cabinet for review and input, and really just to look over and -- and make sure that the board item was accurate and the -- the



funding	codes	Were	 were	accurate	tο	กมไไ	from
Lunaing	Codes	were	 were	accurace	LO	pull	LLOIII.

- Q Other than funding, was GNETS a topic of -ever a topic of discussion for other reasons during the
 cabinet meetings?
- A I -- I do not recall. I'm just -- I can't say with a hundred percent certainty, but I do not recall GNETS coming up as -- as something for discussion.
- Q And that's set aside for funding-related topics?
- A Typically if GNETS were to go to cabinet, it would be related to funding.
- Q All right. And I just want to ask you about two or three more items here, and then if you want, we can take a break.
- There's another bullet point under deputy chief of staff here that says, "Work with Steering Committee (Chief of Staff and Deputy Superintendents) to collaborate, plan and administer the Strategic Plans and Georgia DOE goals and initiatives."

What was the purpose of the Steering Committee?

A Well, the Steering Committee was in place before I took my role, and I believe the purpose was for the deputy superintendents to meet with the chief of staff to keep him updated, look for opportunities to collaborate across the agency, see if there were any



issues that needed to be discussed.

Q Okay. And now that you are a deputy superintendent, is the Steering Committee structure still in place?

A No. I mean, a number of things. So just to -one point of clarification on that. A number of things
changed after the pandemic. So we were all in the
building every -- well, every single day unless we were
traveling; but after that, we are no longer in the
building unless the State Board or as needed. But the after the -- after the pandemic, the -- those Steering
Committees in that format did not -- did not start back.
But prior to the pandemic, we didn't have the biweekly
leadership meetings.

Q And I should have asked you when you were talking about the cabinet meetings a moment ago. Is that structure still in place --

A Yes.

Q -- now that you are a deputy superintendent?

A Yes. We -- we have to have the cabinet to -to review -- to review the rules before we -- or, I'm
sorry, to review the board items before we take those to
board.

Q Okay. All right. So returning back to this bullet point, at the time when you were deputy chief of



staff, there's a mention here of strategic plans. What strategic plans were the Steering Committee working on?

A Well, there is the overall agency strategic plan that had a number of goals and missions that we were to look at to see, to support the superintendent's vision to see how we could, through our divisions and collaborations, work together to -- to support that, to see what work we were already doing and how we could collaborate better to support the LEAs.

Q Okay. And did any of the -- it also notes here that you all worked on State DOE goals and initiatives. Did any of these goals, initiatives or plans involve the GNETS program?

A Not that I can recall.

Q And then this last bullet point here says, "Identify areas of improvement across State DOE and collaborate with divisions and leadership to implement change."

What did this job responsibility entail?

A Looking to see if we -- just with the strategic plan, it's a -- it's a large agency. I think it's about 1300 individuals that -- approximately -- that work at GaDOE. So a lot of times we're doing -- we're all doing work to try to support the district so they can support the students, but a lot of times we may not be aware of



what the other divisions are doing or there may be areas
where we could better pull together to streamline what we
are doing, reduce redundancy, and increase our
effectiveness, and just work together on things that help
the agency as a whole.

Q In this position as deputy chief of staff, did you identify any areas of improvement related to the GNETS program?

A No. Again, I don't recall GNETS being a topic of discussion for the collaborative work.

Q And I guess relatedly -- and your answer may be the same, we'll see -- did you collaborate -- just looking at this last bullet point, was there any collaboration with the different divisions, including fed programs and leadership, to implement changes related to GNETS?

A Again, I don't believe -- I do not recall GNETS being part of the work that we were doing.

MS. HAMILTON: All right. I'm just looking at the time, and let's see, I -- Ms. Owen, Ms. Johnson, would this be a good time to take a break, or do you all want to keep going? We can take like a 10-minute break.

MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, I'd like to take a 10-minute break.

MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Great.



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Hearing no objections
2	MS. HAMILTON: So why don't we come back at 11
3	o'clock.
4	MS. JOHNSON: Great.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We'll go off the
6	record now at 10:51 a.m.
7	(The deposition was at recess from 10:51 a.m.
8	to 11:02 a.m.)
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at
10	11:02 a.m. Please proceed.
11	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Ms. Owen, we are going to
12	pick back up with your resume, which is Plaintiff's
13	Exhibit 696, and I'd like to move on to your next
14	position that you held, which was director of
15	consolidated federal initiative.
16	When did you hold this position?
17	A I started in, I believe, August of 2016.
18	Do you have the resume that you were going to
19	put up or?
20	Q Yes, I'm sorry. I thought that was on the
21	screen. Let me reshare. Thank you for flagging that.
22	Let me know when you see the resume on the
23	screen.
24	A Yes, I can see it.
25	Q Great. And let me make sure you have control



1	if you r	need it.
2		Okay. So what was the time frame for when you
3	held the	e position of director of consolidated federal
4	initiati	ves?
5	A	2016 until I took the role as deputy
6	superint	endent of federal programs.
7	Q	And how did you obtain the position of director
8	of conso	olidated funds initiatives?
9	A	I
10	Q	Or federal initiatives.
11	A	interviewed for the position.
12		Did you hear me?
13	Q	And I apologize for cutting you off.
14		Yes. Did you say you interviewed for the
15	position	n?
16	A	I did.
17	Q	Who conducted your interview?
18	A	There were three. I believe it was the HR
19	director	a, and I believe it was Avis King. And I I
20	don't kr	now who the third person was. I'm not I'm not
21	sure if	I knew the third person.
22	Q	Okay.
23	A	But someone was in the
24	Q	Okay. Do you know the HR director's name at



the time?

1	A Denise. I'm not a hundred percent sure.
2	Q Okay. But you believe her first name was
3	Denise?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. And then you mentioned Avis King. What
6	was his role?
7	A I believe she was I believe she was deputy
8	superintendent of school and district effectiveness or
9	school improvement, but I but I'm not a hundred
10	percent certain on that.
11	Q Okay. Did they explain why you were selected
12	for this role?
13	A I mean, it's harder when you're I know you
14	are asking these, but it's hard to recall exact
15	conversations about what was said.
16	Q And I can reframe that.
17	A Yeah, I'm
18	Q What was your understanding of why you were
19	hired?
20	A Well, I and again, I'm speculating because I
21	don't recall the the whole conversation on this. I
22	don't I'm trying to even think if there is sort of an
23	explanation of why you are hired or, you know, you've got
24	the position sort of thing when when you're hired for

positions. That's why it's throwing me a little bit.



But I I had been at the department for a
number of years, and I had demonstrated success in other
programs and projects across the agency and was looking
to move over to federal programs, and so but I don't
know as far as an explanation of why. I don't want to
speculate on something from, you know, six years ago.

- Q Did you say a moment ago that this position falls under federal programs?
- A Yes. This was a -- this was a brand-new initiative, and it -- yes, that was under federal programs.
 - Q Okay. Who did you report to in that role?
 - A Craig Geers.
- Q And did you supervise anyone?
 - A Yes. Well, not originally, but as the program grew, then I hired -- I hired Carly Ambler to assist with the growth of the program for this, and -- and equitable services.
 - Q Okay. And I know you mentioned the consolidated funds and it should have -- or consolidated federal initiatives a few times during the deposition today. How would you summarize what the purpose of the initiative was?
 - A So getting to the, as you heard me say, the superintendent mission and vision, the sense was that, in



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

December 12, 2022

general, that the federal programs as a whole were being administered, and the interpretation of the statute was far too stringent and that we weren't allowing the LEAs the flexibility that -- that they needed to be innovative and flexible with their -- their allocations.

So the superintendent, a big part of his goals were to take our federal programs division and look to see any area that we as a state agency were overstepping what the federal government was requiring. And that's how the consolidation of funds initiative came to be, and it essentially was taking multiple pots of funding streams, including state and local and federal funds, combining them together, and then under allowable statutes from the U.S. Department of Education, providing the LEAs with additional flexibility, so that the funds essentially were treated more as state funds with that additional flexibility for the use of the funds, and essentially wanting to take that model and -- or just the -- the flexibility and not overreaching as a state agency, wanting to take that across the entire federal programs division.

Q Your second bullet point underneath the director of consolidated federal initiatives position says that, "The consolidation of funds initiative grew from four LEAs to over 30 LEAs in three years."



What was the goal in terms of how many LEAs would ultimately be able to benefit from this initiative?

A Well, the goal is as many LEAs as -- as many

LEAs that want to be part of it. I mean, the superintendent is a big believer in local control, and he's a big believer in flexibility while still being in compliance with federal statute. But as -- it was a --

compliance with federal statute. But as -- it was a -- it was just -- it was a huge, huge undertaking, because the way people looked at federal funds and possibly some of -- what some of the practices that had been put in place for years were really more of a -- they went beyond what the scope of the -- what the federal regulations called for. And it was -- but it was a really frightening endeavor for some of the districts because of the compliance aspect and just sort of the years of how

they had -- our federal programs had sort of overseen and

So it was -- it was a major, major undertaking, and I -- I think the idea was to get somebody maybe with a different perspective outside of federal, because essentially trying to undo what you had always been taught is a -- is a different undertaking. But this was -- this concept of flexibility within the scope of the law was -- was a huge initiative for the superintendent.



interpreted statute.

Q I know you said the the focus of the
consolidated federal initiatives seemed to primarily be
helping LEAs figure out how to combine these multiple
pots of funding or funding stream. How, if at all, would
the GNETS program be able to benefit from the
consolidated federal initiative?
MS. JOHNSON: Form.
THE WITNESS: Well, that's it's difficult
to that's a little difficult to answer because, one, I
don't recall that coming up as a point of discussion.
GNETS is a program that and this is essentially,
the flexibility goes to the LEAs, and GNETS funding comes
through a state item allocation. So you have a a
state allotment that goes to GNETS, and then you have an
IDEA allotment, and these funds, though you can
consolidate IDEA as part of this, it it gives
additional flexibilities to the LEAs. But I don't recall

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And does the consolidated federal initiative still exist?

specifically something relative to GNETS coming up

because it was more work directly with the LEAs.

A Yes. We have grown it to over 40. I think currently there may be 43 LEAs. And we're asked to co-present with the U.S. Department of Education, I think in March of 2020, because of the success of the program.



And no other state is consolidates the number of
programs that we do in Georgia or has the number of LEAs
participating, so it's looked to as a model for for
other states

Q So I want to scroll down to the state ombudsman position listed here as well. What was the time frame that you served as state ombudsperson?

A I was delegated the role as part of ESSA, probably maybe two months -- and again, this is from memory -- after I took the role of the director of consolidated federal initiatives, and held that role, along with the deputy chief of staff and the director of consolidated federal initiatives until I took the role as deputy chief of staff.

Q Okay. And how did you obtain the position of state ombudsman?

A I was delegated the role. My -- the associate superintendent, Craig Geers, it was written into ESSA. We were looking at, you know, ESSA implementation, and he asked me to think about it, and then -- and then he delegated the role to me.

Q Okay. So you didn't have to apply for this role?

A No, this -- no.

Q And am I correct that this -- I think you list



here that -- this was in the second bullet -- a role that was new to federal programs?

A Yeah, both -- both of the -- both of the roles, because both of the responsibilities were new to federal programs.

And if I could just clarify for one of the questions you had asked earlier about, you know, becoming deputy. One of the big charges was bringing both sides of the house together, ESSA and IDEA, to work as one federal program, and I had a lot of experience pulling together different groups and programs across the agency, so this was part of that as well.

But both of those positions, we never had a consolidated -- consolidation of funds. We were just starting it. And then the role of the ombudsman had never -- had never existed.

Q Okay. Did you supervise anyone as the ombudsman?

A Not at first, but then later on, Carly Ambler.

But, also, part of -- so not supervised but work with all of the programs that you see there, just like above that, working with the 12 to 15 different federal programs.

Q And did you say that you reported to Craig Geers for this position as well?

A Yes.



Q And I guess if you just had to summarize at a high level what you did as a state ombudsman, how would you describe your role?

A To implement the ESSA, which would be oversee equitable services in those six different -- those six different programs. Work with the -- both roles were essentially like a liaison. So for this, I was a -- essentially a liaison between the private schools and the LEAs and helped to implement ESSA across the state and training across the state in resolving conflicts and issues between them.

And the same was true for the role above, which was sort of a liaison between our federal programs and the LEAs and the -- because the LEAs felt that we were far too restrictive in our implementation of statute, and a number of federal programs did not think so, so it -- and back to your earlier question about the role of deputy, this gave me a unique perspective, because in most cases people only work with one federal program. So we might have Title I, and that's all they do is Title I, but I was able to work with 12 to 15 different programs to gain knowledge of -- of those programs and build relationships so that we could work together to implement some of these changes.

Q Okay. And I know you used the term "equitable



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

services" a moment ago. What does that term mean in the context of your role?

A So for the programs that you see listed, IA, IC, IIA, IIIA, IVA and IVB, as part of -- as part of the allocation that comes down to the LEAs, there is a portion of that funding that may be allowable for use for students who are in private schools. Each one of those programs has different stipulations and regulations around it. But if those funds that are held out are the equitable services and the funds go to support the -- the private school students, through those various programs they're implemented by the LEA, and the LEA is the -- the fiscal agent for these. So the -- the services are what the private school students receive, not the funding. But this role was created to ensure that that -- that was actually happening for private school students.

Q And as state ombudsman, did you have any responsibilities that involved the GNETS program specifically?

A No.

Q As state ombudsman, were there any responsibilities that impacted the GNETS program? Any responsibilities that you held in that role that impacted the GNETS program?

A No, not that I can recall, because also this is



a these are ESSA	funds; whereas,	GNETS, tl	hey would be
receiving the state	allocation in I	DEA funds	. But
there there is	there's equital	ble no	, sorry.

- Q All right. I just want to make sure I understand. A moment ago you were saying there was an equitable. I just want to make sure I understand what you were referring to.
- A There are equitable services that relate to IDEAs, but this role was created under ESSA, so this was dealing with the -- the ESSA funds; that Title IA, IC, IIA, IIIA, IVA and IVB are -- are ESSA funds on the ESSA side of the house.
- Q Okay. And you mentioned this earlier. I just want to make sure I -- I capture this. So in these three roles as state ombudsman, director of consolidated federal initiatives, and deputy chief of staff, when you held all three of those for the period of time, it sounds like you were saying half of your time was spent serving as deputy chief of staff and half of your time was spent on the other two positions. Is that correct?
 - A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Okay. And then during the time period when you were just serving as director of consolidated federal initiatives and state ombudsman, how was your time split?
 - A Well, it was -- it was paid for out of the same



funding stream, the consolidated application. So as	}
far from the financial point, I didn't have to wo	rry
about the splitting of time, but essentially I I	tried
to divide it as much as I could so that I could	
address you know, fulfill the goals of both of th	ne

- Q Okay. And then lastly on these roles, when you became deputy superintendent, did anyone replace you as deputy chief of staff?
 - A No.

the programs.

- Q Did anyone replace you as director of consolidated federal initiatives?
- A No. Carly Ambler -- and also let me just go back real quick. So no was the answer, but we also -- I took the position, I think in February, and March was the pandemic, so, you know, we're very much in ESSA mode and getting the funds out.
- And regarding the other, Carly Ambler became the senior program manager and -- in that, and we ended up adding two additional staff to her team.
- Q Okay. When you became deputy superintendent, did anyone replace you as the state ombudsman?
 - A Yes, Carly Ambler.
- Q Okay. So moving further down into your professional history, you were at the Department of



Education for	quite some	time. It	t looks	like	you also
held the role	of program	specialis	st and s	state	program
manager for so	ocial studie	es K-12.	Is that	cori	rect?

- A Yes, but that -- the 2017 should actually be 2016.
 - Q Okay. So what was the complete time frame?
- A Yes, so 2008 until I took the role in 2016, so I don't know why it says '17.
 - Q Okay. How did you obtain this position?
 - A I -- which position?
- Q The program specialist and state program manager for social studies.
- A The -- so the specialist role, I interviewed for. That was to help resolve some issues that had arisen with the state testing, and with five people in that division, and eventually through attrition and the time frame being the recession, ended up with one person in that division.
- Q Okay. And similarly, how did you obtain the state program manager for the social studies position?
- A I'm trying to think back. I think I was in the role for quite some time, and then was made program coordinator, and then I think promoted to program manager.
 - Q Okay. What department did these positions fall



under	with	the	Department	of	Education?
anacı	W I CII	CIIC	Deparement	\circ	Haacacron.

- A Curriculum instruction and assessment.
- Q Okay. Who did you report to in these roles?
- A My -- originally I reported to Bill Crenshaw, the program manager. When he was no longer there, I believe I reported to the director, the director of curriculum instruction and assessment.
- Q Okay. I know a moment ago you were talking about the various people who were in the department when you were in the program specialist role, but did you supervise anyone when you served in these positions?
- A Originally no, and then I was able to -- to hire someone.
- Q And I see here you've listed a number of responsibilities. I guess just at a very high level, how would you summarize what you did as the program specialist and state program manager for social studies?
- A Well, I came in to help resolve the issue in the year under Kathy Cox when they had to throw out the state assessment results, so I was brought in to help resolve that contentious issue, and then help develop the -- the training, help revise the assessment, help revise the standards for -- for the sixth and seventh grade at that time. And then also over time, and then deliver the training across the state, and then the role



grew for including K through 12. And I worked with all of our assessment items, the Georgia high school graduation portion for social studies, the EOCs, the EOGs, developing training resources, working on standards revision for the entire state, running statewide initiatives, such as the governor's civics project, medal of honor and -- yeah.

And then after the -- after the precision review, I think I had been there about seven years and was ready for a new challenge. And then also building -- building and fixing relationships was one of the charges that I had also in that role from the deputy superintendent.

Q And in these roles, did you have any involvement with the GNETS program?

A So I would not have had like direct involvement, but I conducted a lot of training. So just as you asked me earlier, courses I've taken, I've taken a lot of professional development, I couldn't tell you, but I have also conducted, in that role in particular, a tremendous amount of professional development across the state. So if there were GNETS teachers who attended any of the trainings, I would not have known that, but there could have been.

Q Okay. And in terms of the subject matter or



focus	of	those	tra	ainings,	would	they	all	have	been
relate	d t	to soci	ial	studies	?				

A Yes.

Q Okay. And when you left these positions, who replaced you?

A In this particular instance, it was Joy
Hatcher, who had been my program specialist. And then
they ended up hiring two additional staff, and I think
possibly over the next few years, three additional staff
to rebuild that -- that department.

Q Okay. All right. Working our way down your resume, it also says here that you were a business owner. And what was the time frame for that?

A 2005 to 2008.

Q Okay. And what did you do when you served as a business owner during this time period?

A I was contracted by different LEAs to teach the teachers how to be more effective teachers, more engaging use of IT strategies in a big part of that. To one of your questions earlier is, a lot of use of visual stimuli to engage a variety of learners, learners with disabilities, but -- and use of IT strategies to try to keep all kids engaged.

Q Which LEAs did you work with during this time frame?



1	A I'm not going to be able to recall all of them.
2	Q I should say, approximately how many did you
3	work with?
4	A I don't I really don't recall. I know it
5	was I remember DeKalb, Meriwether; I believe Burke
6	County, and did some contract work with CSRA RESAs with
7	their TAPP teachers for their educational
8	THE REPORTER: Did you say with their TAPP
9	teachers?
LO	THE WITNESS: TAPP. Yes, sorry, TAPP, T-A-P-P.
L1	It's a training program for teachers that are seeking
L2	certification through alternative means outside of their
L3	four-year program, if they have a four-year degree.
L4	And then I when I accepted the role at in
L5	2008 at the Department of Ed, I had to I had a
L6	training scheduled, but I couldn't do that because you
L7	can't you couldn't do both, so I had to cancel that,
L8	that training.
L9	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And when you were
20	serving in this role, did you provide any services to
21	GNETS programs?
22	A Not no, not to the programs, but again, the

same as before, so I would have been hired by -- I would

have been hired by the LEAs or the RESA, and there could

have been teachers who were GNETS teachers in there, but



23

24

1	T	Т	bluow	not	have	known.

- Q Okay. All right. And then it also appears that you worked at two different middle schools. Is that correct?
 - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. What -- where did you work and when?
- A Greenbrier Middle 2000 to 2008, and then Hephzibah Middle 1995 to 2000.
- Q And what did you teach when you were working as a teacher at these schools?
 - A Social studies, science, reading. I'm trying to -- oh, and when I transferred over to Greenbrier in Columbia, initially moved over to connections, their connections classes, and that was French, Spanish and Latin, and then moved over to a team where I was teaching social studies and science.
 - Q Okay. And just a few additional questions about your resume. When you were a teacher, did you ever serve as a special education teacher?
 - A I did not. No, I didn't serve as a special education teacher.
 - Q And I believe earlier you mentioned that you did have some students with disabilities who were in your classes; is that correct?
 - A Yes. I always had students with disabilities



1	in my classes and worked with the special education
2	teachers always. I shouldn't say always, but throughout
3	my career I recall things I always had students with
4	disabilities in my classroom and worked closely with the
5	special education teachers to and and the general
6	ed team that we were on to to try to serve and support
7	their needs and meet their IEP goals or their SST goals.
8	Q Do you have any particular expertise working
9	with students with EBD?
10	A Particular expertise?
11	Q Yes. Just do you have any expertise working
12	with students with EBD?
13	A I don't have certification working with
14	students with EBD.
15	Q Do you have any expertise assessing the
16	appropriate levels of integration for students with
17	disabilities?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
20	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Sure. Do you have any
21	expertise assessing the appropriate levels of integration
22	for students with disabilities?
23	A I don't have any I don't have any specific
24	certification in that.
2 4	CEICILICACION IN CHAC.

Okay. I'm going to stop sharing my screen.



All right. And we're gonna switch gears. W	е
are going to move on from your resume now, and I just	
have some general questions for you about the GNETS	
program. When did you first learn about the GNETS	
program?	

A I don't -- I don't recall when I first learned about it. I remember Nakeba doing a board presentation when she was in the role of -- as a director, but just I didn't have a lot of, you know, additional information regarding that.

Q And what would have been the time frame when Nakeba gave that presentation about GNETS?

A I -- I don't know, and I don't want to -- I don't want to speculate. It was prior to -- it was prior to her taking the role as the deputy superintendent of federal programs.

Q Okay. Would that have been when Nakeba was serving as the GNETS director?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And what was your understanding of the purpose of the GNETS program?

A To serve students with severe emotional, behavioral -- social, emotional and behavioral disorders that occur with such frequency and duration and intensity that their needs cannot be met successfully in a general



UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORG

ed classroom or a setting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Excuse me. How are the GNETS programs structured around the state?

I mean, they're -- they're all different. There is 24 different GNETS I think at 150 different sites, and I've been on some site visits, but this is not -- I mean, because we don't run the program, I -- and it's locally controlled, I really would not be able to answer that.

But just to make sure I understand, a moment ago you were saying there is 24 different GNETS programs?

Well, yeah, 20 different -- 24 different Α programs, so there are GNETS programs across the state, but they are served in like 150 different locations.

Okay. How does a student become eligible to participate in the GNETS program?

They -- so we have a board rule that -- that addresses that, and they should have been through the continuum of services to ensure that a multitude of, you know, things have been tried before moving to the evaluation.

The IEP team ultimately would make the decision if the criteria has been met for that. And they should have an SEA; they should have a BIP, but it should be made with an IEP team after careful evaluation of the



	_	
1	a+11don+	
T	student	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And roughly how many students are enrolled in the GNETS program statewide?
 - A I believe it's around 3100.
- Q Has that number changed over time?
- A From my understanding, it has. It's reduced over time.
- Q Uh-huh. What is your understanding of why that number has decreased or been reduced?
- A Well, that calls for some -- some speculation on my part as to why that has happened.
- Q Have you been part of any discussions about the reasons that the number of students have been reduced?
 - A Sorry, I'm thinking through discussions.
- Q Sure.
 - A So yes.
 - Q And what was in the nature -- what was the nature of those discussions about why the numbers have reduced -- reduced or been decreased over time?
 - A So like I was at training, you know, and messaging to make sure that LEAs are looking very closely at their programs, at the continuum of services that they have available, and that these children truly are in their least restrictive environment. The fact that DOJ is conducting site visits, and there is two lawsuits,



might also have led to -- to the reduction as well.

Q And to the extent that there have been discussions about reducing the number of students in the program, who typically participated in those discussions with you?

A It -- well, it wasn't a -- it wasn't a meeting about the reduction of GNETS. So I don't always know, but when I came into the role, I would sometimes attend meetings with -- with the GNETS program manager and the state director just regarding -- well, we actually -- there are weekly meetings with all the different programs, so it's not unique to GNETS. There is weekly meetings to all of the programs that we have. Usually it would be with the programs and then the director. And then I might have an invite to the meeting, but originally was attending a number of those meetings. Like I said, obviously there is a -- a lawsuit around this, and to hear some of the discussions.

Q Speaking of the meetings that you had, you are saying that they are -- as deputy superintendent, you have weekly meetings with a lot of your programs; is that correct?

23 A No, I don't.

Q No?

A The --



ΕD	S I	Αı	ES	V5	S I	AI	OF	G

Q Oh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The directors do, so a lot of the -- you know, if it gets too specific or granular, because of the number of programs I oversee, typically I'm not going to be sitting in on those.

Most of mine is going to be high-level interactions and, you know, problem solving, things of that nature, information that I get from the directors. But I will sit in on meetings, and originally I was sitting in on a number of the -- the weekly meetings.

Q Okay. Do you have regular meetings currently with anyone on the GNETS program staff?

A I have it on my calendar. Like I'm just included as an invite, but I -- I don't necessarily attend. It's sort of, you know, as needed at this point. Because a lot of time has been dedicated to GNETS, and I have a lot of other programs to oversee in my role.

Q Okay. Have there been periods in serving as the deputy superintendent when you did attend the meetings with the GNETS program staff?

A Yes. Yes.

Q Okay. Who participated in those meetings?

A Originally, I believe it was Dr. Zelphine Smith-Dixon, the state director at that time, and Vickie, the program manager, and sometimes LaKesha may be in the



meetings. And then now it's Wina Low, who is the state director, and Vickie, and I don't know if LaKesha attends on a regular basis or not.

Q Okay. And when you say state director with regard to Zelphine and Wina Low, is that the state director of special education?

A Yes. We have a -- or did have a state director of the ESSA programs and then a state director of the special ed programs.

Q What was the general time frame when you were meeting with Zelphine Smith-Dixon and Vickie Cleveland?

A What do you mean by "general time frame"?

Q You said originally you met with Zelphine and Vickie, and I'm just trying to get a sense of since you started in the role as deputy superintendent, when you would have been holding those meetings.

A I'm not sure at first, because when March 13th, 2020 came about, you know, we stopped -- we started working from home; and to be honest with you, a lot of the focus at that time was the federal funding coming down through ESSER and trying to get that money out. So a lot of my focus was on that and the -- and across the agency.

So I -- I can't really tell you whether or not I was attending those meetings. I know at some point,



you know,	I started tr	ying to attend	those meetings to			
listen in	to, you know	, to hear what	was what was			
happening.						

Q Okay. And I actually want to ask you some questions about a few e-mails I have related to those meetings, so let me pull those up. This may help.

I'd like for the court reporter to mark this next document as Plaintiff's Exhibit 700.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 700 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And Ms. Owen, I have shared with you Plaintiff's Exhibit 700. This is a January 28th, 2020 e-mail -- e-mail chain, and the subject line here at the end says, "RE: Introduction and Next Steps." And the Bates number on the first page is GA00702975.

I will give you -- I will just give you a quick moment to take a look at this, but I will actually kind of guide you through with the specific questions that I have. So you should have control over the -- the mouse. So if you can just let me know when you've looked at it, if you recognize the document.

A On this, I'm trying to see, because it looks like it's cut off. Do -- is that -- was that to all federal program staff? I'll read through it, but usually it will tell you who it's to, and I don't see that.



1	Q This was what was provided to us. I
2	A Okay.
3	Q That information wasn't on the particular
4	document when it was given to us from the State.
5	A Okay. All right. Let me enlarge this.
6	Q And for what it's worth, I'm actually not going
7	to be focused on this e-mail, this particular e-mail, but
8	I just want to get a sense from you if you recognize this
9	e-mail chain.
10	MS. JOHNSON: Take your time and look through
11	it. You don't have to read every line unless you
12	THE WITNESS: Do you mind if I just read it for
13	context? Because this is a 2019 e-mail.
14	MS. HAMILTON: That's fine.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay.
16	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. So scrolling
17	down, I do want to note, so this initial e-mail that was
18	sent out January 28th, 2020, it appears that you are
19	sharing that you had been selected as the deputy
20	superintendent of federal programs. Is that correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And then it appears
23	A Well, it had already been shared out. This was

Okay. And then it appears that Vickie



Q

24

25

just a follow-up e-mail.

SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

Cleveland	then	wrote	you	directly	to	congratulate	you;	is
that right	?							

A Yes.

- Q Okay. And then continuing to work through the chain, you had responded to her, and there's a line here where you say, "I am going to ask Jackie to set a standing meeting for you and I, as she said this was something Nakeba did to stay updated on GNETS. Will that work?"
- First of all, who is Jackie?
- 11 A She was my administrative assistant.
 - Q Okay. And what was her full name?
 - A Jaquenetta Dugger.
 - Q Okay. And so just to be clear, you -- you were letting Vickie know that you wanted to have a standing meeting. Why -- why did you propose setting a standing meeting?
 - A Well, because I was -- I don't know if Jackie told me or, but somehow I came to the information that she had a standing meeting with Nakeba, who was my predecessor.
 - Q Okay. And did you see value in having the standing meeting with Vickie Cleveland every -- and this doesn't get into the time frame, but did you see value in having a standing meeting with Vickie Cleveland?



A Well, and it wasn't just for for Vickie. It
was yes, there is value in it, you know, particularly
gaining more knowledge about the programs, but I was also
trying to, you know, meet with all of the program
directors to, you know, get insight to, you know, how
their programs were were going, as well.

But yes, so I assume, though, I was trying to follow along with what Nakeba had been doing.

Q Okay. All right. I'm going to show you -- and that basically was just the end of the e-mail there.

I'm going to show you another document, and I'd like for the court reporter to mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 701.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 701 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: This is an April 29th, 2020 e-mail. This last one is from Shaun Owen to Jaquenetta Dugger, but it's basically an e-mail exchange between the two of you. He subject line is "GNETS," and the Bates number for this first page is GA00707281.

All of the content is basically here on this one page. So do you want to take a quick moment and let me know if you recognize this document?

A Okay.

Q Do you recognize this document?



21

22

23

24

25

1	A No, because it was but obviously, I sent it.
2	But yeah, no, I don't recall this. But yeah, obviously,
3	I sent that.
4	Q And do you have any reason to believe that this
5	wouldn't be an accurate representation of what you would
6	have sent by e-mail?
7	A No, no, no. When you ask me do I recognize it,
8	no, I mean, it doesn't just pop up to mind, is what I'm
9	saying.
10	Q Okay. Okay. And so I see here this is an
11	e-mail exchange between you and Ms. Dugger. Is this the
12	same Jackie that we were talking about from the other
13	e-mail chain, your administrative assistant?
14	A Yeah.
15	Q Okay. And in this initial e-mail from
16	Ms. Dugger, she notes that she spoke with Vickie and
17	then, quote, she would like a monthly standing meeting
18	with you and Zelphine. And then it goes on where your
19	assistant says, "Would you prefer a biweekly or keep it
20	monthly?"

Do you see that?

Α Yes.

And then in your response, you say, "Let's do Q weekly."

Do you see that here?



1	A I do. I don't know I don't know if that was
2	a typo.
3	Q Okay. But do you see that here?
4	A But yeah, I I see it. I see it, uh-huh.
5	Q Okay. What was your understanding of why
6	Ms. Cleveland wanted to set a monthly standing meeting?
7	A So I think it was just to continue what she had
8	been doing with Nakeba.
9	Q Okay. And then to the extent that it appears
10	here that you would propose let's do weekly, do you know
11	or remember if those meetings actually did take place
12	weekly?
13	A I I don't recall. This was this was
14	4/29. It I really I don't recall because I had so
15	many meetings at that time. But I do remember, you know,
16	of the programs, hers would be the one, and I do remember
17	weekly meetings at some point. I just don't know when
18	they started.

Q Okay. And this -- this e-mail exchange was at the end of April 2020; is that right?

A Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q All right. I'm going to show you another document, and I'd like for the court reporter to mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 702.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 702 was marked for



1	identification.)
_	Tuenciici Cacion.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q BY MS. HAMILTON: This is an August 19th, 2020 e-mail from Vickie Cleveland to Shaun Owen and Zelphine Smith-Dixon with the subject line "Weekly agenda." The Bates number of the first page of this document is GA05057496, and there's also an attachment.
- Ms. Owen, I will give you -- see if you still have control. Okay. I will give you control just to take a look at the document, and let me know when you are ready.
- A Okay. Okay.
- Q All right. Great. So as I mentioned a moment
 ago, the subject line here says "Weekly agenda," and this
 is from Vickie Cleveland, addressed to you and Zelphine
 Smith-Dixon.
 - Is Ms. Cleveland referring to the weekly meetings that she would have been having with you and Zelphine Smith-Dixon?
- MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
 - Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Sure. So Ms. Cleveland e-mailed you and Zelphine Smith-Dixon, and here in her e-mail she says, "See attached agenda for our meeting today," with the subject line of "Weekly agenda."



1	Is she referring to the weekly meetings that
2	she had with you and Zelphine Smith-Dixon?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
4	THE WITNESS: The the schedule because
5	let me clarify. I don't you know, I don't I don't
6	know how I know I was in a number of meetings, but I
7	can't tell you which meetings I was in and which I had to
8	skip for other obligations, but yes, that's what she
9	would be talking about.
10	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And I just want to confirm at
11	the top of her of the agenda she circulated, the title
12	also says "GNETS weekly meeting." Is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. For the meetings that you participated
15	in, were there was there always a written agenda that
16	was provided for the meetings?
17	A I don't this seems more structured. I think
18	that I don't know when Wina assumed the role. I
19	don't I don't recall having, you know, something this
20	detailed written out every time. So I don't I don't
21	know that it was always this detailed or provided, but
22	she I would think she would probably have a layout
23	and, you know, topics, updates that she wanted to
24	provide, whether it was in this form or a little less



formal.

Q Okay. And then it looks like the agenda is
split up by topics. The first topic here is "Legal
Updates." And I just want to note for the record that
the section was redacted in the document that we received
from the state.

The second topic here, it says "Budget." Why was it important for you to discuss budget issues with Ms. Cleveland and Ms. Smith-Dixon?

MS. JOHNSON: Form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, first, just to clarify, I'm not creating this agenda, so it's not that I think it's -- you see what I am saying? Like I didn't create it, so I think it would probably be more of what Vickie felt was important to update us on would be my -- you know, my take on that.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Why were you discussing budget issues during the meeting?

A Let's see. Okay. So I'm recalling one of the meetings, so I'm just going to do the best I can from -- from recollection on this topic.

I recall Vickie -- and I don't know if it was at the request of the LEAs; I'm sure that some of it initiated from that -- wanting to have more transparency in how their allocations were determined, and Geronald is



the person who runs that. So I believe that Vickie, in order to be, you know, transparent about the budget, was meeting with Geronald to see if we could have a presentation that sort of walked them through how he derived the funding formula that drives the allocation.

And I believe there was a presentation to that effect.

Q Okay. And to the extent that it looks like here, Ms. Cleveland had replied here, "Will there be any" addition -- "any consideration for additional funding for GNETS," is that a question that in your capacity as deputy superintendent you are able to respond to?

A It -- I mean, if I had -- if I had knowledge of it, then I could respond to it. But I don't -- I'm trying to recall if this was -- and again, I'm going from memory here. If this was about the time that there was great concern over the state budget overall, and a recession and the Governor asking state agencies to look at the potential of a 10 percent cut, at which case -- I mean, everybody always wants more funding no matter what it is, but I believe this was more in relation to concern that there would be a 10 percent cut to the overall budget for GNETS because it is a state allocation.

Q And in your capacity as deputy superintendent, would you have been able to respond directly to any questions that she had about budget issues during one of



these meetings?

A Typically -- typically for something like this, you know, the budget is a -- it's a General Assembly/Governor decision. However, so that is more of a CFO interaction, as far as that, and we essentially get what is coming down the pipe to us. But -- but I'm sure she would still want to make me aware that if there was any concern on the part of the LEAs for funding and supporting the GNETS program and, you know. And then if I could probably convey that up the chain of command to -- you know, to express to the CFO or to Matt Jones, the chief of staff, the concern.

Q Okay. And in the interest of time, I'm going to skip through some of these other items.

Let's see, the next topic is "LEA Collaborative." What -- what -- I don't know if I should say what is or what was the LEA collaborative?

A So Vickie meets with -- since the -- you know, the folks that -- now, for this one, she was having meetings with the GNETS directors. So as far as the LEA collaborative, I'm -- I don't want to speculate. It may be -- because we have a lot of different collaboratives across federal programs, but, you know, it may have been the groups that have students in GNETS programs would be, you know. And again, I'm -- I'm speculating on that

hecause	w⊃	have	а	lot	\circ f	different	collaboratives.
Decause	$w \subset$	11a v C	а	TOU	$O_{\rm T}$	attrefell	COTTADOLACTVES.

- Q I'm just curious, why do you think she would have brought this to your attention during one of these meetings?
- A Again, it's not just my attention. This is how -- this is how -- this, what you are seeing here, is no different than if you were to pull up a 21st Century ESSA; they would be doing the exact same thing.
- So in other words, our, at the time the director of the ESSA programs, he meets with his program managers each week, and they go through a variety of topics, mainly to keep the program -- to keep the director updated. And then typically, I receive my update at a higher level from -- from the directors. But this is a -- this would be a common practice across federal programs.
- Q Okay. And so she is providing you with an update on the plans for the LEA collaborative?
 - A (No oral response.)
- Q Okay. And then likely for the next topic,
 "Supplemental Instruction (iReady)/Assessments,"

 Ms. Cleveland is providing you with an update on this
 topic as well, correct?
- A Yes.
 - Q Are there any items -- I guess I'm just trying



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

to understand, are there any action items that she would be expecting from you in sharing this particular update with you, for example?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I would -- okay. Is there any action items? I would have to read through it again to see whether or not there was anything that came out of that. With the -- with the budget there was -- I recall there was an action item where she wanted me to -- to meet with budget to see if they could -- they could go in. It's not something I think that -- particularly that particular person would do typically in their role, but again, you know, we're trying to be very transparent. And so for that, I think the request was for me to -- to actually reach out in my capacity to see if -- if I could get that moving along.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: I see. Okay. And then the same for LEA collaborative or the supplemental instruction assessment. Is there any action item, like if you are looking at this, that would have been expected of you, or were those purely just updates?

A Let's see. No, this -- so this is an update, because the board item -- this is 2020, so I don't know if it was all combined. So we -- anyhow, the last board item I saw, which was not this one, had the GNETS



allocation, state and federal i-Ready, and then for -- and then you will see down there the 11 for the GNETS receiving the therapeutic support. So this would be an update on the board item, which would have already gone through.

So again, just, you know, as grants go and contracts go, particularly if you have to have a contract that's tied to a grant like this one does, just to keep us, you know, updated as far as how things are progressing.

Q Okay. And since we are talking about the therapeutic support topic here, I guess, what grant -- what grant is she referring to?

A So that's a -- and I'm trying to think of a -it's around a \$900,000 grant, and from what Vickie shared
with me, I think that was based off of a needs assessment
that Nakeba had conducted for the -- the sites that
typically had a greater need and potentially less
funding, so that they could get additional funding to
provide the additional therapeutic supports for the
licensed clinical social worker and the master's of
social work, so that -- that additional therapeutics
could be provided. And we're still -- we're still doing
that.

Q Okay. And then going back up to strategic plan



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

review, was this purely an update or are there action items that were intended to come out of this one?

A Okay. If I could read it over real quick --

O Sure.

A -- again.

All that sticks out with this one is just, again, Vickie -- and I'm not going back to this because I don't recall this meeting, obviously, from two years ago, but that at one point they had updated their -- their strategic plan.

Q Then let's look at these other topics. "GOIEP updates," what is GO-IEP?

A It's an online portal system that we pay for out of federal funds, and we make that available to any LEA that wants to participate. And it's a way of -- unlike the paper -- you know, the paper forms that we used to use where you'd have to go in and sign in, it basically digitizes and streamlines everything, and it puts it in this portal, so that it expedites if a student transfers from one location to the next, you are not waiting on the paper documents; and it also feeds into the monitoring and determination so that that information is -- is in the portal.

So it's a -- it's an online platform to collect and house students' IEP information and the documents



1 relative to that.

- Q Okay. And are there any actions that in your role you would be taking specific to the GO-IEP information that's shared here?
- A No, and this would -- this would have been more of a -- something at the director level. It looks like she's just -- it's -- this is a system that we do update and enhance, and when we do -- we have to provide training on -- on that. So this would not be anything for -- for me.
- Q Okay. What about the GNETS monthly webinars? What were those webinars?
- A And I don't -- I just know that she was -- she was meeting with the -- just like any of the programs do, where they provide technical assistance and updates to -- and every -- every program does it a little bit differently in how they want to provide the updates, but I do know that she was meeting with the directors to share this.
- We fund those integration -- content integration specialists in curriculum instruction and assessment, so I'm sure she was wanting them to talk about their roles and any resources that they can provide.
 - Q Okay. And speaking of meetings that



Ms.	Clevel	land	had	with	GNETS	dire	ctors,	are	you	familia	r
with	the s	state	wide	GNET	S dire	ector	meetir	ngs?			

- A I -- I know that they do meet from time to time, but I don't have any idea, as far as I don't see the agenda or know when they are meeting or anything like that. I don't -- I don't know if Vickie -- I don't -- I'm not even sure if Vickie is -- is part of that. I know we were invited to be part of one of those, but beyond that, I don't really know much about it.
 - Q Okay. Do you ever participate in the meetings?
- A One that -- wait. No, two. I believe two. I believe one was virtual, and one was face-to-face with other collaboratives that are directors collaboratives that are different, and a GNETS director might be in that collaborative; but specifically the GNETS folks, I recall twice where I was invited to attend.
- Q Okay. And for the two meetings that you attended, approximately what was the time frame for those meetings?
 - A What do you mean by "the time frame"?
- Q Uh-huh. The two meetings that you attended, when did they occur?
 - A Oh, okay. The first one, that was virtual. I think the face-to-face one was in -- was in June, but I'm sorry, I don't know off the top of my head. The other,



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

probably a few months prior to that. I really don't know without my calendar in front of me.

- Q Okay. And to the extent that you're saying approximately June and then approximately a few months before that, what -- are you referring to 2022?
 - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay.
- A Yes. But that first one, I could be off.
 Again, I would need to look at my calendar.
 - Q Okay. Would you say that both of those meetings were likely in the last year or so?
 - A Oh, yeah. Yes, yes.
 - Q Okay. And what was your role in those meetings?
 - A Well, the first meeting came about because they -- the directors were very anxious because there had been information regarding the budget that was coming out in the General Assembly, that the allocations that GNETS currently received might be integrated into a QBE funding formula instead of as a separate allocation that would then go directly to the LEAs. And there was a tremendous amount of concern and anxiety on the part of the GNETS directors regarding their programs, so they just -- they wanted -- I did not have additional information to give them regarding the funding, because that happens at the

1	Conoral	7 a a o m h l z z	107701
Τ	General	Assembly	rever.

- Q Okay. So were you involved in any of the discussions about those proposed changes to the GNETS funding?
- A No. That's a General Assembly thing, so I wasn't involved in those discussions.
- Q Okay. And to the extent that the GNETS directors were asking questions, how did you respond to their questions during that meeting?
- A I mean, I -- I just shared with them the fact that we -- you know, the education budget is part of the, you know, \$27 billion state budget, and it's allocated as it's determined by the General Assembly and the Governor, and that we -- you know, I didn't have any additional information to share regarding that. And, you know, I mean, that's -- that's really all I could -- could say.
- Q How did the GNETS directors respond to that response?
- A They -- it was not a satisfactory response for them.
- Q And I guess I am just curious as we're -- as we're talking about the funding proposal that came up last year, how did you feel about this proposed change to shift the funding source for GNETS away from the state grant and more toward the QBE formula?



A So this is where it's I don't know that my
feelings should be a part of this. I mean, you know,
I I implement what if that's what the General
Assembly wants to do, then, you know, that's that's
what we are going to do at the at the state level. I
don't know that my feelings are relevant, because we
you know, we will do what the Governor and the General
Assembly want us to do.

Q Did you have any views, though, on what would be best for serving -- sorry, what would be the best way to fund the GNETS program?

MS. JOHNSON: Form.

THE WITNESS: I -- I will just say, you know, at the end of the day, I just want to make sure that these kids' needs are being served and that they are getting the supports that they need. And it's difficult to answer that question because, also, every program is different. Because there are 24 different programs, you know, run across the -- the state, like schools, they all vary. So that's -- that's a difficult one to answer.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Have you been part of any discussions pertaining to funding that would be allotted to the GNETS program for the upcoming school year?

A I'm sorry, there was a ding when you were giving me the question.



1	Q Yeah, I heard that, too.
2	I was saying, have you been part of any
3	discussions pertaining to any funding changes for GNETS
4	for next school year?
5	A Meaning what it should look like or because
6	those are those really aren't decisions that we
7	that we make. And no, I have not sat down. I have not
8	been invited to one second.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Can we take just a short break to
10	clarify the bounds of attorney-client privilege here?
11	MS. HAMILTON: Sure. And
12	MS. JOHNSON: Just for a minute or so?
13	MS. HAMILTON: Yeah, that's fine, and we'll
14	probably end up taking a break for lunch soon, too.
15	And I do just want to state for the record that
16	I don't want you to reveal anything that's been discussed
17	with your attorney. So yeah, you all can take a quick
18	moment.
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We will go off the record
22	now at 12:46 p.m.
23	(The deposition was at recess from 12:46 p.m.
24	to 12:48 p.m.)
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at



12:48	p.m.	Please	proceed.

	Q	ВҮ	MS.	HAMILT	ON:	Okay.	And	Ms.	Owen,	my		Ι
will	repe	eat	the	questi	on th	nat I as	sked	prev	riously	7, W	hic	h
was,	have	e yo	ou be	en par	t of	any dis	scuss	sions	perta	aini	ng	to
chanc	aes t	0 (NETS	fundi:	na fo	or next	scho	ool v	ear?			

A So there -- there have been discussions, but I believe that falls under attorney-client privilege.

MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And I -- I don't know, Melanie, if there is an objection you want to invoke just for the record related to this?

MS. JOHNSON: So I object to any questions regarding the -- the substance of the conversations beyond that if a conversation has occurred and on the basis of -- of attorney-client privilege.

- Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. So besides -- MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
- Q BY MS. HAMILTON: -- the discussion -- Oh, thank you, Melanie, sorry.

So Ms. Owen, besides the discussions that you have had with counsel, have you been part of any other discussions pertaining to GNETS funding for next school year?

A I've not been included in any -- any discussions relative to making decisions on funding.

Q And are you aware of any plans by the General



Assembly to	o make	changes	to	funding	for	the	GNETS	program
next school	l year?							

A So the -- the information that I have is essentially what -- what came out in the -- in the proposed legislation.

Q Okay. And when you say "proposed legislation," you are referring to the legislation affecting the current school year?

A No, because the -- they would -- so it didn't pass last year, so any -- the General Assembly will start meeting in January, and budgets won't even be finalized until months later. So any -- any changes, if they were to occur, would -- either they would give them a year to make the adjustment because of the sudden change, or they would go into effect next year, if -- if that -- that passed. But again, that's -- that's a General Assembly thing, but yeah.

Q Okay. And in your position as deputy superintendent, are you able to make recommendations for how the GNETS program can be funded or should be funded?

A Well, again, it's a -- it's a little bit of a unique position because we don't -- we don't run the program, so I don't -- I think this would be more -- this would be more discussions between the General Assembly, the Governor, and, you know, potentially the CFO or, you



	UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA
1	know, OPB, that but no, I really I don't know that
2	I would be making personal suggestions about that.
3	Q Okay. Have you ever made recommendations to
4	any legislators about GNETS funding?
5	A I there's a chain of command. I don't
6	even I don't talk to legislators. We have policy
7	our policy people would be the ones interacting with the
8	legislature.
9	Q Okay. And similarly, have you ever made
10	recommendations to the State Board of Education or
11	superintendent related to, again, these types of
12	high-level funding changes?
13	MS. JOHNSON: I'm gonna instruct the witness
14	not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.
15	O BY MS. HAMILTON: And I can rephrase that.

BY MS. HAMILTON: And I can rephrase that.

Do you -- in your capacity as deputy superintendent, do you make recommendations regarding how GNETS is funded to the State Board of Education and superintendent?

MS. JOHNSON: I think the same objection.

MS. HAMILTON: And, I quess, just to make sure I understand your objection, Melanie, I'm trying to get a sense of whether Shaun in her individual capacity can make recommendations. And you're objecting that that's privileged?



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS.	JOHNSON:	Okay.	So	Ι	think	I	 Ι	think	Ι
understood a	different	questio	on.						

So okay, so the objection is withdrawn, and you can say whether you have the ability to make recommendations to the superintendent.

THE WITNESS: I mean, I might have the ability -- I might have the ability to, but, again, I think that the reason is just because it's a -- it's a state allocation. We don't run the program. I -- I don't know that that's -- that wouldn't be a typical occurrence.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And I'm going to recommend that we take a break maybe around 1 o'clock for lunch, but I just wanted to wrap up the topic we were just discussing.

You had mentioned that there were two different GNETS director meetings that you attended. One of them was the meeting where discussions came up about funding for the GNETS program. What was the second meeting?

A They -- that was a face-to-face meeting, and they wanted -- again, I think at the end of the day, they wanted information regarding funding, which we didn't have. We don't really have a lot of updates regarding litigation, but I just -- I essentially went through the -- the State Board rule, and just again emphasized to



1	make sure that you are adhering to all portions of the
2	the State Board rule.
3	Q Okay. And do you participate in any other
4	regular meetings as the deputy superintendent that
5	involved the GNETS program?
6	A Regular meetings, no. I mean, we have in all
7	federal programs, but that's for all staff members, and
8	that's there's nothing particular to GNETS. It's just
9	a staff meeting.
10	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. All right. This might be
11	a good time to take a lunch break, if that works for you,
12	Ms. Owen and Ms. Johnson. About how much time do you
13	think you all would need? Like 30, 40?
14	MS. JOHNSON: I'm fine with 30.
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's fine.
16	MS. JOHNSON: But if you need more time.
17	THE WITNESS: That's fine.
18	MS. JOHNSON: 30 minutes.
19	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. All right. So why don't
20	we say 1:30 we will reconvene.
21	MS. JOHNSON: Great. Thank you.
22	MS. HAMILTON: Thank you.
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We'll go off the record now
24	at 12:57 p.m.
25	(The deposition was at recess from 12:57 p.m.



1	to	1:37	n m)
_		± • ∪ /	P	/

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 1:37 p.m. Please proceed.
- Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Ms. Owen, I'd like to pick up with a new topic. When was the first time that you ever visited a GNETS facility?
- A I -- I don't have the -- I don't have the exact date. I really don't. I don't know. I don't know.
- Q Okay. And I don't need an exact date. I'm just trying to get a sense of when was the first time you've ever visited a GNETS facility.
- So, I guess, start from the premise, you have visited a GNETS facility, correct?
 - A Correct.
- 15 Q Okay.
 - A So after the pandemic, the first would have been when you all started your -- your visits back up.
 - Q Okay. And so, I guess, just to clarify, in your role working with the Department of Education, you had never visited a GNETS facility until the United States began conducting visits?
 - A I believe that is correct.
 - Q Okay. All right. So then, I guess, then, starting with the United States' visits, I know just working with the United States there were a number of



GNETS facility	site visits. Approximately how many
visits did you	participate in that were part of the
United States'	site inspections?

A I would -- I'm just -- I'm guessing here.

Maybe 20, but I'm -- I'm just guessing at that number.

Q Okay. And when you say approximately 20, are you referring to 20 individual sites or are you saying like you spent 20 days on-site? I'm just trying to clarify what the number represents.

A 20 days. And some of them -- many of them, multiple sites in a day.

Q Okay. Roughly how many GNETS facilities did you visit?

A I feel like I'm -- I'm just throwing out numbers here. I don't know, because I don't have the -- I don't have the dates in front of me to really -- to know that. I'm -- I'm not sure. I don't feel comfortable speculating. I just didn't keep a running tally of it.

Q Is there anything that would help you refresh your recollection of how many sites you visited?

A Well, I think the -- I think that you all have the -- the calendar and the list of who attended what -- what sites.

Q Did you maintain a list of what sites you were



			_	
7.7.7	S_{1}	Ť٦	nq	,
v <u> </u>	\sim \pm	-		٠

A I have been in multiple places as part of, you know, yes, but I don't have them in front of me, is what I am saying. I just don't want to be inaccurate in providing you information.

Q Okay. All right. So, I guess, you know, we want you to be able to answer our questions based on what you recall. With that said, you are saying that you do have a list or a documentation somewhere that indicates what sites you visited?

A Yes. I think we received everything from you all regarding the dates, and then, you know, the determination would be made of who would go, and then that was sent back -- back to you all, I believe.

Q Okay. And what were the general time frames for when you participated in the visits? I don't need exact days, but were there particular times when these visits were occurring?

A I think it all relates to the same question.

I'm not trying to -- I will answer your question if I -but we've had site visits on and off for the last year,
so it's -- you know, it's hard for me to just pull up
without looking at my calendar because it's just -- it's
one of, you know, other things that I'm doing, so I...

Q All right. And I'm just really trying to get a



rough estimate.	So,	for example, did you participate i	in
any site visits	with	us in the last month, with the	
United States in	the	last month?	

A No, I think the site -- we -- I don't think we've done in the last month.

Q Okay. And so to the extent that there were site visits -- I'm just trying to look at some of these dates myself. The site visits that were conducted with one of our experts named Bob Putnam at the beginning of December, you didn't participate in those?

A Okay. Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, so Wina Low went on the last two -- Wina Low went on the ones, I think the 2nd and the 3rd, and I went on those visits prior to that, to Rockdale, Gwinnett, and a few other sites.

The last two, though, that you all have done, Wina Low went on those, and I did the visits before that time with your -- your expert and the two lawyers.

Q Okay. And the United States also conducted site visits in late October -- sorry, late October into the early November time period with our expert Amy McCart. Did you participate in any of those site visits?

A Oh, Amy.

Q Yes.

A Jamie or Amy?

Q Yes, Amy McCart.



OITITED	OITTLO	V3 017 (1 L	O.	OLOIN

Α	Yes.	Vac	Т	did.
A	ies.	ies,		ara.

- Q The United States also conducted a number of site visits during the April to May of 2022 time frame.

 Did you participate in any of the site visits during that
- 5 | time frame?

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- A I did, yes, and I -- I believe Wina may have as well.
- Q Okay. The United States also conducted site visits during the November to December period of 2021.

 Did you participate in any of those site visits?
 - A Yes, I believe so.
 - Q Okay. And I'm just giving out some of those time periods just to get a general gauge. There certainly may have been other weeks --
 - A Yeah.
 - Q -- that we conducted visits, but those all would have occurred in the last year. So just trying to get a --
- 19 A Right.
 - Q -- ballpark of when you went on visits.
 - When you conducted -- sorry, when you participated in those visits, were you acting in your capacity as the deputy superintendent of federal programs?
 - MS. JOHNSON: Form.



1	You can answer.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Why did you participate in
4	the site visits with the United States?
5	A So that we would have a DOE representative. I
6	believe Nakeba had gone to the ones prior to that. But
7	in reality, I would have probably had the our our
8	state director attend more, but she was relatively new to
9	her role, and because she was new to her role, then I
10	attended a number of visits.
11	Q Okay. And when you say "state director," are
12	you referring to Ms. Cleveland?
13	A No. She's a program manager of GNETS. Wina
14	Low
15	Q Oh.
16	A replaced director Zelphine Smith-Dixon.
17	Q Okay. So you're referring to the state
18	director of special education?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. And I know you mentioned a moment ago
21	that Ms. Low did attend some of the site visits. What
22	was the reason then I'm just trying to make sure I
23	understand. What was the reason that she didn't attend
24	more of them?

Because she was new to her role as state



Α

director, so that would give her more time to do that.
There were the ones in, I believe November, those
November October and November time frames conflicted
with the annual the G-CASE conference. It's the big
annual conference for special ed directors across the
state, and she was also delivering the keynote.

Q Were there particular -- when you say she was new to the role, were there particular skill sets or qualifications that you wanted her to have before she conducted the site visit?

A I'm not sure I am understanding that -- that question.

Q Sure. You were saying that you didn't have her -- you didn't have Ms. Low attend more of the visits initially because she was new to the role, and I am just trying to better understand, with her being new to the role, were there particular skill sets or knowledge that you wanted her to have before she started doing the visits?

A No. She's -- so she's retired from -- she was a special ed director. She actually held a number of roles, but that's her background. And she's been at the DOE for, I don't know, eight, nine years.

No, she has all the skill sets, but the issue is she's having to manage, you know, multiple programs,



as well, and, you know, the LEAs were not -- didn't know her, so she had to reach out and spend time with the LEAs, reach out and spend time with the staff. So it -- that -- that's very important for them to see her in her new role and for her to have an opportunity to engage with -- with the staff and the stakeholders. So that's -- that's the reason.

Q Okay. I know a moment ago you had also mentioned that you have probably spent -- you spent approximately 20 days on-site, some days included multiple sites. In terms of the number of sites that you would have seen, I know you don't recall the exact number, but would you say somewhere between 50 and 100 sites?

A That -- that seems rather high. I don't -- I don't know if it was that many. And again, I just -- I don't want to be inaccurate, but 50 sites seems -- certainly, nowhere near 100. There were -- we would go -- well, the -- the last -- the last few visits have been different, in that we are sitting down all day with -- not all day, for, I think, three hours with one LEA or GNETS. The prior visit -- and that was more of a conversation asking questions -- the prior visits we might go to two, three sites in a day, so -- but, you know, but I could be wrong on that, so I just don't want



to give you inaccurate information.

Q What do those site visits entail? I know you were saying the most recent ones you were sitting down for an hour. What do you mean when you say "sitting down"?

A So the previous visits with Amy, she spent the majority of the time going into individual classrooms, GNETS classrooms, and observing, and she might ask a few questions. The more recent ones with your experts, most of the time was spent -- rather than visiting, the majority of the time seemed to be spent asking the various people around the table a variety of questions regarding GNETS and various program aspects.

Q Okay. And just returning back to the site visits that you did with your expert Amy McCart, you mentioned that you attended a number of classroom observations with her where she might ask a few questions. Were there any other -- any other parts to the site inspections with Amy McCart that you participated in?

A What do you -- what do you mean?

Q So in addition to classroom observations, did you do anything else during the site visits with our expert, Amy McCart?

A Well, she would -- she would -- what would I



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

do? Did I do anything else? That's -- that's -- I'm -- that's the part I'm not understanding. Or did she do anything else?

- Q Were there any --
- A Other than --
- Q Right. I'm just trying to get a sense like what the -- what the visits entailed. So you mentioned that with our expert, Amy McCart, there were classroom observations, and I'm just inquiring whether there were any other components to the visit.

A Mainly she visited the classroom. There was -you know, there were times that -- so she would ask
different questions of the GNETS director running the -running the site. She might ask them questions about,
you know, the number of students or more of the -- the
details day to day. And then she might wrap it up at the
end; there may be a few other questions. She would take
pictures throughout the site. But mainly, most of the
time was just going into classrooms and -- and observing.
And, you know, it would vary the times she would spend in
one class versus another class, and I -- you know.

But she set the -- she set the itinerary. So whatever amount of time or what she wanted to look at or wherever she wanted to go, I mean, that's -- you know, that's how the site visits were conducted.



	Q	And	did	you	all	tour	the	facilities	in	addition
to	doing	the	obse	ervat	cions	s?				

A Well, I mean, every site is different, so let
me just think through. So yes, they would go and ask.
They would want to see if it was a center, they would
want to see where the library or media center was, where
the cafeteria was. They would want to know their
gymnasium, their playground. So they would want to go to
that.

In a school setting, they would oftentimes want to go and see if a -- if a child was transitioning some or taking some general ed classes, they would want to go and see those classrooms where that -- that child attended.

And I'm trying to think if there is anything else. Yeah, that's -- that seems to be the bulk of it.

Q Okay. Did you document your visits when -- when you attended the United States site inspection?

A I would -- I would take some notes, and Amy was taking pictures, and I wasn't always taking pictures, but then I -- but then I did take some pictures of just what -- whatever she had taken pictures of.

Q You mentioned that you took some notes. What was the form in which you took your notes?

A Some were on paper; some were on an iPad.



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

1	Q And you also mentioned that you took some
2	pictures. What did you use to take your your
3	pictures?
4	A The iPad.
5	Q And you mentioned that you took pictures of
6	what our expert, Amy McCart, took pictures of. Why did
7	you choose to do that?
8	MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to object here on the
9	basis of attorney-client privilege and instruct the
10	witness not to answer.
11	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Did you share your notes with
12	anyone after the site inspections?
13	MS. JOHNSON: You can indicate if you did.
14	Well, I'm going to caution you not to reveal in
15	responding to the question, I'm not instructing you not
16	to answer, but I'll caution you not to reveal the content
17	of any of your notes in answering the question.
18	THE WITNESS: So when you say did I did I
19	share, are you saying the actual physical notes, or
20	what what are you what do you mean?
21	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Yes, did you share your
22	physical, either paper or electronic notes with anyone
23	after the site visits?
24	A No. There there is a protected folder where
25	some things have been shared.



1	Q	Okay. An	d I guess	I'm just	trying to get a	_
2	A	Not the -	- not the	upload.	I'm just saying	

like scanned of the -- do you see what I am saying?

Q Yeah. I guess I'm just trying to get a sense in terms of if you -- did you share the documents with other individuals. And I'm just trying to get a sense of, were there other people who received your notes after the site visit?

A No, I don't believe so.

Q Okay. So you didn't share -- you didn't share your notes with -- your written notes with any of your State DOE colleagues?

A No, I don't believe so.

Q Okay. And you didn't share your written notes with counsel?

MS. JOHNSON: Object, and instruct the witness not to answer.

MS. HAMILTON: And I guess -- I guess my -just to clarify, Melanie, the basis of the objection.

I'm just trying to get a sense of if there is a privilege
being asserted, is this because those notes were shared
with counsel? Or I'm just trying to get that.

MS. JOHNSON: Sure. So we're objecting to the contents of the notes and anything that has been done with the notes; anything that has or has not been done



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

with t	he notes o	on the	basis of	work produ	ıct and	
attorn	ey-client	privi	lege and,	you know,	whether	she's
been i	nstructed	to do	anything	with them	or not.	

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And then, relatedly, with the photographs, what did you do with your photographs, Ms. Owen, after the site visits?

A Some have been -- some have been uploaded to a protected folder.

Q And I know you've mentioned a protected folder in connection with the notes and in connection with the photographs. Just so I understand the protocol that you all have in place at the DOE, what is the protected folder?

A So if we're sharing anything that is related to this or -- it's kept in a shared folder.

Q Okay. And did any of your colleagues, besides the attorneys on this matter, have access to that shared folder?

- A I'm not sure. Wina and Vickie may have access.
- Q Okay. And so -- and those are the GNETS program staff, correct?
 - A I'm sorry, what?
- Q You -- you said Vickie, and who was the other person?
 - A Wina, the state director.



1	Q Wina, okay.
2	Would they be able to access your notes from
3	the site visits?
4	A No.
5	Q And why is that if they're on the shared
6	folder?
7	A They're not all on the shared folder.
8	Q Okay. So just to make sure I understand, did
9	you say Wina Low and Vickie Cleveland have access to the
10	shared folder?
11	A I believe they do. I'm not a hundred percent
12	certain.
13	Q Okay. And I'm just trying to clarify, can they
14	access your notes from the site visits?
15	A No, not no, not at this time.
16	Q Okay. And why is that, if they have access to
17	the shared folder?
18	A Because I haven't transferred it all over.
19	Q Are your photographs, also, you said on the
20	on the shared folder, correct?
21	A Some are. I just haven't uploaded all of them.
22	Q So for the ones that are on the shared folder,
23	can your colleagues, besides the attorneys, access those
24	photographs on the shared folder?
25	A Well, going back to the same thing, I believe



L	they have access to that folder. I'm not sure. We have
2	a number of folders, and there is different levels of
3	access. And I didn't I did not create the folders. so

I'm not -- I'm not a hundred percent certain in that.

- Q Okay. You are aware that the United States issued subpoenas to conduct the site inspections of the GNETS facilities connected to the litigation, correct?
 - A Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. And how did you learn about the subpoenas for the site visits?
 - A Through our attorneys.
- Q Okay. How many -- so I know you were saying earlier that you spent approximately 20 days on-site; went to multiple sites. Do you have a sense of how many of the GNETS programs you were able to see during the site visits? To the extent that there are 24 regional programs, do you have a sense of how many of the programs you visited?
- A Maybe half or more. And again, I'm -- I'm speculating on that.
- Q Is there anything that you would be able to reference that would enable you to give a more accurate number?
- A All the calendar invites on my -- on my computer. I think it's the same that -- that you all



1	have	because	vou	all	set	the	meetina	schedule.

- Q But I'm asking from your vantage point, is there anything that you can reference? So you said your calendar invite; is that correct?
 - A Right. But do you mean in front of me?
- Q Well, both, really. So it sounds like you don't have anything in front of you, correct?
 - A I don't.
- Q Right. So I'm just trying to get a general sense that if you were able to go back to pull up information, do you have documentation in some form that would tell you --
- 13 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

- 14 Q -- how many of the programs you visited?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And what is that documentation?
- A My calendar invites, travel documents, and then
 the sort of -- it's not an agenda but each one of the
 sites listed out for the -- where we were going and what
 days.
 - Q Did you do anything to prepare for the site visits?
 - A No. I was just going as a -- a DOE representative, and I wasn't -- I wasn't engaging or asking questions or -- or anything of that nature.



Ç) Did	you ha	ve co	nver	sations	s with	anyor	ne d	on t	the
GNETS	program	m staff	from	the	State	Depart	ment	of	Ed	in
advanc	ce of tl	ne visi	t?							

- A I have -- okay, I'm sorry, one more time.
- Q Uh-huh. Did you have conversations with anyone on the GNETS program staff from the State Department of Education in advance of the visit?
 - A Not that I can recall.
- Q And just to be clear on what I am referring to, did you have any conversations with Vickie Cleveland in advance of the visits about the site visits?
- A No, I -- I thought you -- did you ask about the GNETS directors?
- Q No. My question was -- my initial question was, did you have conversations with anyone on the GNETS program staff from the State Department of Education in advance of the visits?
- A Oh, okay, I'm sorry. Regarding -- regarding the upcoming visits?
 - O Yes.
- A I -- I may have. I may have informed her where -- I'm -- I may have had conversations beforehand, but I don't -- I don't recall specific conversations relative to the visits.
 - Q To the extent that you're saying you may have



had conversations, what would have been discussed in those conversations?

A Well, that's the part that I'm like -- I don't know what would have been discussed about the -- the visits, because I was just going, like I said, as the DOE representative, just to be there and observe. So I don't know. If anything -- if there was any discussions, she might have told me just an overview of the -- the program or the layout or something of that nature, but I don't -- I don't recall specific conversations relative to the visits.

Q Okay. And when you're saying "she," are you referring to Ms. Cleveland?

A Yes.

Q Is there anyone else from the State DOE who you would have had conversations with in advance of the visit outside of counsel? I don't need to know about those conversations.

A Right. There would have been probably Wina Low to coordinate our schedules, to see who might be able to attend. And then on a few, I might -- I think I may have sent a -- if you're gonna be out of the office sort of protocol, to send a calendar invite to your direct supervisor letting them know that you are going to be out of the office. So I believe I sent just some calendar



1	invites to	o to let Matt Jones know that I wasn't gonna						
2	be availab	ole.						
3	Q I	Did you have conversations with anyone on the						
4	GNETS prog	gram staff again, Ms. Cleveland or						
5	Ms. Stever	nson from the State DOE after the site visit?						
6	A I	I'm sure after some of the I am sure after						
7	some of th	ne visits there there was you know, we may						
8	have talked about the you know, the visit, but but							
9	not always	s. It just depend on the the schedule.						
10	Q A	After which visits did you have those						
11	conversations?							
12	I.	MS. JOHNSON: Form.						
13	7	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.						
14	Q E	BY MS. HAMILTON: Did you document anywhere						
15	where you	would've when you would have had those						
16	conversati	lons?						
17	A N	No.						
18	Q V	Would there have been meetings scheduled to						
19	have those	e conversations?						
20	A I	I'm sorry, would there have been?						
21	Q V	Would there have been meetings scheduled?						
22	A C	Oh, meetings scheduled. Specific to a site						
23	visit?							
24	Q P	After the visit.						

Right. Let me just -- I don't -- I don't



Α

recall	that -	I	don't	recall	scheduling	а	meeting	after
the	after	the	visits	5.				

Q Okay. You had mentioned that it was important for someone from DOE to be present at the United States site visits. Why was it important that someone from the State DOE be present?

A This -- our -- our counsel had advised us to have someone present.

Q Did you have conversations with anyone from the regional GNETS program staff in advance of the site visits? And by "regional GNETS program staff," now I am talking about the staff who were at those facilities.

A I don't recall having any conversations prior to visits about an upcoming visit.

O Yeah.

A And now -- yeah, and there were times where I was -- I know I was in a collaborative community meeting, and I was informed that we would have -- there would be a visit that I didn't -- I didn't know about, that that showed up later; that we were just trying to coordinate schedules to attend. So I -- I think the -- I think -- I think the directors were receiving the information and the subpoenas prior to -- to our visits or our -- our being informed of them.

Q Okay. And when you say you were at a



collaborative community meeting when you found out about one of the visits, what do you mean? Was it a topic of discussion at the meeting? Or how did you find out at the meeting?

A No. So just as, you know, we were saying earlier, there are different collaboratives, and Wina Low is new to her position, and as part of that, we were -- we would attend some of the -- it's where the special ed directors pull together to discuss different topics, and oftentimes a GNETS representative would be there. And I -- I think it was randomly mentioned that you all would be visiting, and I just happened to be -- be in the room when that was mentioned.

Q And I know earlier you mentioned that you wanted to eventually have Ms. Low attend more of the site visits. Did you consider having Ms. Cleveland attend any of the site visits?

A I think that was a decision that, again, was made by our general counsel, that -- that it would either be myself or -- or Wina Low attending the visits.

Q Returning back to the question regarding any conversations with the regional GNETS program staff, did you provide any guidance to the regional GNETS program staff prior to the visits about any steps that they should take in preparation?



1	A I don't recall providing any guidance in
2	advance of of visits.
3	Q Okay. Did you have conversations with anyone
4	from the regional GNETS program staff about the site
5	visits the morning of or right before they occurred?
6	A I'm sorry, what I'm sorry, can you repeat
7	that?
8	Q Uh-huh. Did you have conversations with anyone
9	from the regional GNETS program staff about site visits
10	the morning of or right before the visits occurred?
11	A I don't recall conversations. Yeah, I I
12	can't recall conversations about that.
13	Q So, for example, prior to the United States and
14	the United States' expert visit at the North Metro Buice
15	Center, did you participate in a meeting that morning
16	with the principal of the Buice Center, counsel for
17	Gwinnett County, the Gwinnett County special education
18	director, the director of the North Metro GNETS program,
19	and counsel for the RESA and DOE?
20	A When when was that?
21	Q This would have been to the visit the North
22	Metro visit to the Buice Center, which would have been in
23	around May of this year.
24	(Court reporter clarification.)

THE WITNESS: Now, there were occasions when we



1	were sitting before people may have gotten there, that
2	people were sitting around at a table, but I I don't
3	know, but it wasn't a meeting with us.
4	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Was the United States invited
5	to participate in those conversations around the table?
6	A I well, it typically was just at the site
7	whenever they showed up, so people might be sitting
8	around waiting. So it wasn't a meeting, per se, without.
9	It was just waiting until the DOJ arrived.
10	Q What was the nature of the discussion that you
11	had during that discussion prior to the start of the
12	visit?
13	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
14	You can answer.
15	THE WITNESS: I'm I'm not I'm not sure
16	what you're what you're referencing.
17	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Sure. So this meeting
18	that of the people who I listed a moment ago, I was
19	just saying what
20	A Okay.
21	Q was the nature of the discussions?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
23	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to I think I'm
24	trying to remember what this is referencing. The I

I don't recall what -- what was -- I don't recall what



was being discuss	sed. And at	some point,	I don't know if
we were if we	had to be or	ut of the room	m so that the
people that were	in the meet:	ing could have	e a
conversation.			

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And you had said a moment ago that you all were in the room until the United States arrived. Were you aware that the United States had been asked to remain outside of the room so that the group could conclude its meeting before the site visit started?

A And again, I'm just trying to remember back to -- to any specifics. I don't know. I think that may have happened a few times where people were waiting; if they were waiting on their lawyers to arrive, then they may not want -- if they -- if they were waiting on their lawyers to arrive, they may not want any DOJ staff to be in there. But I don't recall any -- any specifics.

Q And, I guess, just to make sure I understand, was it your understanding that they were okay with counsel for the State of Georgia being in the room but not the United States' counsel?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: There -- and I don't recall specifics, but where we also were not allowed in the room. But sometimes they -- it wasn't even that they were talking about anything in particular. They just



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

24

25

didn't different sites may run it differently and just
not want anybody may not want the DOJ in there. And
they may not be talking about anything in particular;
they just wanted to ensure that all their parties were
there before, you know, entering.
O DY MG HANTI HON Olean I mark to a la mark

about another -- another meeting that took place. So prior to the United States and the United States' expert beginning the site visit at the Hamilton Holmes

Elementary School in the North Metro GNETS program, did you participate in a meeting with your counsel, the principal of Hamilton Holmes, counsel for the Fulton County School District, the director of the North Metro GNETS program, and counsel for the Metro RESA?

THE WITNESS: Do you -- can you provide the --

the dates or the -- the actual location, like city that it occurred in?

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: I -- so this also -- this was
a North Metro site visit that also would have taken place

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

in May of 2022, and this was part of North Metro, so it

22 | would have been in the Metro Atlanta area.

A Okay.

Q Hamilton Holmes Elementary School.

A And am I -- am I aware of what?



1	Q Did you participate in a meeting with the
2	individuals that I listed? And I can repeat their titles
3	and their names if that's helpful.
4	A Yeah.
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
6	You can answer.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, please, if you don't
8	mind.
9	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: So the question was, did you
10	participate in a meeting prior to the start of the site
11	visit at Hamilton Holmes Elementary School with your
12	counsel, Alexa Ross; the principal of Hamilton Holmes,
13	Adrienne Grainger; counsel for the Fulton County schools,
14	Jeff Daniels; director of the North Metro GNETS program,
15	Cassandra Holifield; one of the North Metro GNETS site
16	coordinators, Samad Knight; and counsel for Metro RESA,
17	Reagan Sauls?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
19	THE WITNESS: No, I think I think what
20	you are saying "meetings". It I think some of these
21	things were just a matter of who arrived when, not not
22	an actual meeting, just just waiting. And and as I
23	said, I think there was a meeting where I recall, and I
24	don't know if this was the one where we were told we

would need to -- we would need to leave and not be in



there, because I think they wanted to be able to have an actual meeting or a discussion without us.

But, you know, on a number of occasions, people were really just -- it's whoever is there, and then they make the determination of if a team is arriving late or if they decide that they want to wait for certain parties before they do that. So it's not a meeting before, and people might be discussing any and everything or not anything at all.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: While you were in the room with these individuals, did you discuss the scope of the site visit?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: What do you mean by the "scope of the site visit"?

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: What would take place during the site visit. Did you discuss while you were in the room with these individuals what would take place during the site visit?

A I don't recall that. I mean, they had the information. And honestly, they talked to one another. I mean, as soon as one site visit occurs, I -- I have a strong suspicion that they call one another and discuss amongst themselves what had occurred.

Q All right. And I'm just trying to get a sense



of what was discussed while you were in the room with
these individuals. Again, I don't know, were you I
guess a question for you, were you aware that the United
States was asked to wait in the site coordinator's office
while you all were in that room?

A I -- I remember -- and again, I don't remember specific sites, but I remember once or twice that happened, but I don't -- again, I don't think it was to discuss anything; I think it was not everybody from their party was present. And probably -- in some cases, possibly being instructed by counsel not to -- to, you know, move forward until that was the -- the case.

Q Okay. So again, for this particular visit at Hamilton Holmes, while you all were in the room together, was there any discussion about what questions could be answered and not answered?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall any discussions about -- about any of that. You're saying, and what questions could be answered or not answered?

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Uh-huh, correct. I'm just, again, asking you -- if the answer is no, that's fine. I'm just trying to understand.

- A Yeah, I don't -- I don't recall any of that.
- Q Okay. When you were in the room with those



ind	livio	duals,	was	the	ere	any	discu	ussion	about	what	portions
of	the	build	ing t	the	gro	oup	would	tour?			

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. And I'm also just going to note an objection on foundation. I don't think we have established that Ms. Owen even remembers this particular site visit or meeting.

But to the extent that you remember, please go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't recall having a conversation on -- on any of that. I think all that was worked out in a -- I don't think any of that was a surprise. I think all that was worked out in advance, you know, and they were aware of what was -- what was transpiring.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And Ms. Owen, I guess just to confirm, did you -- were you in the room with the individuals who I listed a moment ago at Hamilton Holmes Elementary School before the site visit started?

A Again, I'm trying to -- I've been to a lot of different site visits. I'm trying to remember the one that you're -- you're talking about. And if it's the one I am thinking of, I think counsel and I were in the room, but then I think we were -- I think like the DOJ, we were told to -- to wait at some point, because they wanted to have a discussion, the -- the parties that were there.



	Q	And	Ms.	Owen,	did	you	part	cicipate	in	any	
conve	ersa	tions	s wit	ch anyo	one	from	the	regional	. G1	NETS	program
staf	f af	ter s	site	visit	s we	re co	omple	eted?			

A Now, there may have been a time where we stayed after just to -- just to talk, because -- just to -- yeah, because we -- we work with some of these folks.

But nothing -- I mean, nothing pops up specifically about specific conversations.

Q And when you say "stayed after just to talk," who would have been the individuals who you would have been speaking with after those visits?

A Well, again, I can't think of any specific places or -- or people. But if I -- you know, if I have known somebody a while, I might stay and -- and talk to them, but a lot of times, though, I'm also just getting in the car and going -- most of these sites were just going to the next location unless it's the end of the day.

Q And just as an example, Ms. Owen, after the United States completed its site visit at the last Sand Hills GNETS facility, did you participate in a meeting with your counsel, Javier Pico-Prats, the director of the GNETS program, Talithia Newsome, and counsel for the fiscal agent, Pete Fletcher and Kim Fletcher?

MS. JOHNSON: Form.



1	THE WITNESS: I'm just I'm trying to think						
2	real quick. I I don't recall. I think I think we						
3	were I think everybody was going to the restroom, and						
4	I think the DOJ may have left out before before we						
5	did. Yeah, I'm I'm just trying to think through it.						
6	But to hold a meeting, no.						
7	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Do you remember going into						
8	the room and the door being closed with these						
9	individuals?						
10	MS. JOHNSON: Form.						
11	THE WITNESS: After after the meeting?						
12	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: After the site visit, yes.						
13	A So for this one, okay, I'm thinking through.						
14	Yeah, for this one, I I I did speak to the the						
15	director regarding one thing, in my other role regarding						
16	something I wanted to bring to her attention.						
17	Q And when you say you spoke to her in your						
18	"other role," what other role are you referring to?						
19	A Well, not as part of the the visit, but my						
20	role as deputy superintendent and yeah.						
21	Q And what did you speak to her about?						
22	A A classroom, a concern I had relative to						
23	interactions between a teacher and a child in a classroom						
24	observation.						

Was this an observation that occurred during



1	the site inspection?					
2	A Yes.					
3	Q What was the nature of the concern that you had					
4	about the interaction that you saw?					
5	A I I felt that the I felt that the teacher					
6	was escalating a situation with a student that I had a					
7	concern about, rather than de-escalating the situation.					
8	Q What was happening at the time when you					
9	observed when you observed this interaction?					
10	MS. JOHNSON: Form.					
11	You can answer.					
12	THE WITNESS: What do you mean "what was					
13	happening"?					
14	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Sure. So in the classroom,					
15	what was happening to the extent that you felt the					
16	teacher was escalating the situation?					
17	A There were there were two teachers in the					
18	room, and the and one of the students there were					
19	only a few students in the room, and one of the students,					
20	I felt like a situation was being created between the					
21	where the child, it should have been a de-escalation, and					
22	it appeared to me that the teacher continued to inflame					
23	the situation and make the situation progressively worse.					
24	And I felt that the the child was embarrassed, and the					

situation just seemed to be unnecessarily escalating, and



it concerned me.

Q And you mentioned your concern particularly in your role as deputy superintendent. In what way did that implicate your -- your position as deputy superintendent seeing that interaction?

A I typically do not say anything. I don't ask any questions at all, but if -- if a -- if a situation arises that I feel is of concern regarding the instruction of a -- in this case a student with a disability, then, you know, I felt compelled to mention that to that particular director so that it could be resolved and that situation did not occur again, yeah.

Q Did you have any other conversations with the regional GNETS staff after the site visit concluded?

A I -- I don't recall. I don't recall any others. I just -- I wanted to address that particular -- that matter.

Q Okay. And I guess just to see if I can better understand what happened with that particular matter, what exactly did the teacher do to inflame the situation?

A She kept -- she kept calling on the child and wanting the child to talk about his feelings as part of a restorative circle, but I didn't feel like the practices had actually been put into place. Because she had mentioned in advance we are going to do a restorative



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

circle,	and the class	didn't seem	to understand	what that
was, so	I didn't know	if that was	just part of u	ıs being
there.				

But at any point in time, she could have just let the child not -- not answer. And when she continued in front of this group and there was, you know, some cussing going back and forth and the situation was escalating, and it was becoming concerning, and I thought that potentially a fight could break out at any point in time, and I felt that was being exacerbated by the teacher, and it -- it could have been de-escalated.

- Q Okay.
- A And the director shared that concern.
- 14 Q You said the director did share that concern?
- 15 A Yes.

- Q Do you know if any action was taken after the site visit in connection with that particular teacher or student?
- A I don't -- I don't know, but the -- the sense that I received was that -- the sense I received was that she was going to address the matter.
- Q How many times, roughly, did you raise these kinds of concerns with GNETS directors during the course of the site visit?
 - MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



THE WITNESS: I really didn't I didn't
really see that's the only one that that comes to
mind. That was just concerning to me, and again, my fear
of my fear of it escalating to physical violence in
the classroom and someone getting hurt was was a
driving factor in that.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Do you have any view, based on what you saw in the moment that day, as to why the teacher would have announced a restorative circle that the students were unfamiliar with?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: You know, it's difficult to -it's difficult to speculate, and I -- but, you know, when
you -- when someone is being observed and they know that
there are -- you know, the purpose of GNETS, a big
purpose is to provide therapeutic support to, you know,
our most severely at-risk students with severe emotional,
behavioral and social challenges, and therapeutics is
supposed to be a big aspect of that, and, you know, I
don't know like with a classroom observation, if she was
looking for opportunities.

The situation had already escalated and, you know, then the -- and there was, you know, cussing back and forth. And like I said, I was concerned, and that's



1	when	she	mention	ned	the	rest	ora	ative	e ci	rcl	e,	and	then	it
2	just	cont	cinued,	I	thoug	ght,	to	get		to	get	WOl	cse.	

And yeah, I was just -- I was concerned for the -- for the students' safety, for the safety of the children and staff in the room and, you know, felt like I needed to -- I would have been remiss had I left and not addressed it with that executive director, as the one responsible for that program, so that she could do something to retrain that staff member, assist them.

Because I -- I want to make sure these children are receiving the help and assistance and the skills and the tools they need.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Is this an issue that you also would have brought to the attention of Ms. Cleveland?

A This particular one, I -- this particular one, I am -- yeah, I'm certain that I would have probably mentioned this to -- to Vickie, yes.

Q And do you know if there was any follow-up on the part of Ms. Cleveland to check back in with the particular GNETS program regarding the issue -- or I should say regarding the incident?

A I -- I don't know. And again, this was a -- because we don't oversee the program because we can't -- we don't mandate or run it, and it's local control.



This, to me, was a safety concern, and so I don't in
her role as GNETS, I don't know that she would have
followed up on this. I'm not I'm not certain.

- Q Do you know if anyone from the State DOE besides Ms. Cleveland followed up afterwards?
 - A I -- I do not.
- Q As you were attending the site visits, were there particular things that you were observing for?
- A Well, I mean, I was looking through the -- I mean, what was mentioned in the -- in the lawsuit.
- Q And when you say "what was mentioned in the lawsuit," what are you referring to?
- A Is this the least restrictive environment for children with disabilities? Are there equity of services? Do they have an opportunity to interact with their nondisabled peers?
- Q Did you rely on any particular training or experience as you were making those observations at your site visit?
- A I've been an educator for, I think, 28 years, and I've conducted site visits. You know, I've had students with disabilities in my classroom and just basic interacting skills with -- with students and de-escalating situations in the classroom.
 - Q And when you say that you relied on your



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

experience as an educator for 28 years, how did that assist you in being able to look at the issues related to this case that you listed a moment ago?

MS. JOHNSON: Form.

THE WITNESS: So -- okay. So I -- did that question switch? Which?

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: No, we are still talking about the same topic. So a moment ago I asked did you rely on any particular training or experience when you were making your observations during the site visits, and one of the things you said --

A Oh, I'm sorry.

Q -- was your experience as an educator, and so I was just asking for more clarification about what aspects of your experience as an educator that helped you as you were making your observation.

A Okay. All right. I thought you meant that particular scenario.

Q Just in general.

A Yes. I mean, yeah, so the same answer. Years and years of -- of experience in the field. Studying, research, or reading up on different things. But, I mean, 28 years in the field and working with students and knowing best practices in the classroom, and then -- yeah.



1	Q And to the extent that one of the things you
2	mentioned a moment ago was a consideration of visits at
3	LRE. Does what does LRE stand for?
4	A Least restrictive environment.
5	Q Okay. So like to the extent that one of the
6	things that you were looking for is this the least
7	restrictive environment, what, from your training or
8	experience, informed that?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
10	THE WITNESS: The same same answer as
11	before.
12	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And can you remind me what
13	what that would be?
14	A Experience in education for 28 years. 13 years
15	in the classroom. Working with critical instruction and
16	assessment. Working with practitioners. Working with
17	children. I mean, I taught students with disabilities.
18	Q And then similarly, in conducting the facility
19	tours, was there any particular training or experience
20	that you relied on in making your observations?
21	A The same as before. I mean, so you're looking
22	at access. You're looking at transitioning back. You're
23	looking at integration into the general ed classroom,
24	opportunities.

However, that being said, I just want to note,



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

So when we go into a classroom, I'm really just -- I'm not getting nearly the information that your expert, nor am I asking to, because again, we don't -- we don't run these. We don't sit in on the IEP meetings, the evaluation, the assessment, the planning. So I -- I don't have the -- the data that -- that -- that she has.

So all I can see is what's directly there happening at that moment in front of me. I don't have any additional information regarding the situation or the students. So to that degree, then no, I don't have that information.

Q Did you receive any requests from the GNETS directors or other regional GNETS staff for copies of your photographs?

- A No.
- Q Did any of the --
- 22 A Not that I recall.
- O Go ahead.
- 24 A I'm sorry. Not that I recall, no.
 - Q Okay. Did any of the GNETS directors or



regional program staff ask for the opportunity to review your photographs that you took during the site visits?

A No. They were in the room the entire time, and it was -- I think what they may have done was ask for -- I think they can receive the photographs that you all took, and they're -- they're pretty much identical.

Q Okay. Did you receive any requests from the attorneys for the fiscal agent for the GNETS program for copies of your photographs?

A No, not that I recall.

Q Did any of them -- did any of the attorneys for the fiscal agents of the GNETS program ask to review the photographs that you took during the site visits?

A So -- so there were occasions when your expert at different times was -- would take pictures of different things, and there were a few occasions where the attorneys were concerned that there might have been student data on there, in which case they would review Amy's pictures, your expert's pictures. And then if she had a picture that was of concern, then the lawyers would discuss that.

And she would -- and if they agreed to erase it, then she would delete it, and then she would delete it from her deleted folder. And if I had that same -- because she was ahead of me, so I would not have all the



1	pictures that she has, typically, but if I did have that,
2	then I would I would also delete that picture.

Q Okay. And you said that the photos that you and Amy took were pretty much identical. How do you -- how do you know that that was true?

A Because we were in the room at the same time, so if she took a picture, I would just take a picture of the same thing.

Q Did you take pictures of anything beyond what you saw Amy take?

A I don't -- I don't believe so. I -- sometimes
I would think to take pictures of the outside of the
building so that I could recall which site. But I don't
know if -- I don't know. Amy may have done that as well.
That's the only thing I can think of.

Q Okay. And I know a moment ago you were saying that if counsel for the fiscal agent for the GNETS program asked Amy or Ms. McCart to delete one of her photos, that you would also go back and delete the same or similar picture on your end? That's correct?

A Correct.

Q Did counsel for the regional GNETS programs ever give you directions during the site visits to take specific pictures?

A No. No, there might be an occasion, so I



would sometimes I might inform them, just so that they
know, because there's always concern around students and
student identity, so I may just say that we were that
I would I might take pictures of what the expert was
taking pictures of. So they were in the room as well,
and they might say, she took a picture of this, if I
if I wasn't aware of it. but that's it.

Q Okay. And just as a specific example, during your visit to the Tri-Cities High School, this is in North Metro, did the attorney for Metro RESA, Reagan Sauls, direct you to photograph a de-escalation room?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: No, I think -- I think -- I don't recall that, but as close as I would recall might be that Amy -- typically, it would be if -- if I was just behind, and -- and they realized that the -- that the expert had taken a picture and I had missed it. That's the only thing I recall, but not specifically directing me to take pictures of anything.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Just to make sure I understand before moving on, when we were talking about the role of counsel for the regional GNETS program, I said role of the fiscal agents for the regional GNETS programs, and I had asked you did they ever ask for an



opportunity to	o review yo	our photographs	directly,	what	
what was your	answer to	that?			

A Typically, they would want to review the experts, and then the expert would show -- if it was a -- if the lawyers agreed that it was to be removed then, the expert would show me the picture, and then I would go through, and if I had the same picture, I would delete it.

Q Correct. But did they ever ask you on the front end to review your pictures, the counsel for the --

A I don't believe --

Q -- regional GNETS program?

A I don't believe so, because again, I was taking pictures of -- of whatever the expert was taking pictures of.

Q And to the extent that there were some situations where it sounds like the attorneys for the regional programs or fiscal agents were advising you about taking photos that you might have missed, what was the basis for why they would have been asking you to do that?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Only because I -- if at the beginning I might have said I'm just taking pictures.



1	Because my concern was, I just didn't want them to think
2	I was, you know, just taking various pictures. It was
3	because, again, they have a lot of concerns around
4	student privacy, so that would be the only reason.
5	MS. HAMILTON: All right. And I note we've
6	been going about an hour and a half since our last break.
7	Ms. Owen or Ms. Johnson, do either of you need a break?
8	MS. JOHNSON: I'm okay.
9	THE WITNESS: I'm okay.
10	MS. JOHNSON: We can keep going.
11	MS. HAMILTON: All right. Let me know if there
12	is a point, Ms. Owen, where you want to take another
13	break. And maybe if yeah, we will play it by ear, but
14	maybe by 3:30, if we haven't taken one at that point,
15	maybe we will just pause for like five or ten minutes.
16	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. Ms. Owen, what
17	were your general impressions of the GNETS program, based
18	on your participation in the United States site
19	inspections?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, it's it's difficult
22	because every site is run differently. Every site looks
23	different. So it's you know, if you were to ask me,
24	you know, what's my general impression of all schools

across Georgia or all districts across Georgia, it's



1	local control. They all look different. They all run
2	their programs differently.
3	So and again, I don't I don't have these
4	children's IEPs to really know is this the least
5	restrictive environment for this child, you know, what
6	what needs does this child have? I really don't have
7	I don't have that information, so it's hard to just make
8	a generalized statement about GNETS in general.
9	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: So based on your experience
10	serving as the deputy superintendent, were there any
11	things that you observed at the GNETS facilities that you
12	felt were particularly effective?
13	MS. JOHNSON: I'm sorry, particularly what?
14	MS. HAMILTON: Effective.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
16	You can answer.
17	THE WITNESS: How are you how are you
18	defining "effective"?
19	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: I kind of want to give you
20	flexibility in determining how broadly you want to
21	interpret that, but that you felt were particularly
22	effective or helpful in serving the students' population
23	for GNETS?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
25	But you can answer.



THE WITNESS: Again, it's it's hard to say.
You're in the you're in the classroom for you know,
there are times we were in a classroom for two minutes
observing children. There were other times we might be
observing for 40 minutes a child on a computer. It's
it's just hard it's hard for me to say, because I
really I don't at the end of the day, I don't know
what these children's needs are. With a number of these
students, you don't know do they transition to a general
ed classroom.

There are overall questions that are asked about opportunities to, but I don't -- I don't really -- there's just a lot of information I don't have to be able to make that -- that kind of a determination on effectiveness. And it also depends on how you define "effectiveness." So it's just difficult to answer that question.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: I just want to make sure I understand. So GNETS falls under the federal program division; is that correct?

A Yes. Yes.

Q And as the deputy superintendent of federal programs, you meet with Ms. Cleveland about -- about the GNETS program; is that correct?

A Sometimes, yes.



1	Q And there are and, well, correct me if I'm
2	wrong, but there are expectations about how the GNETS
3	programs should be operating according to the board
4	rules; is that correct?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
7	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. So I'm I'm really
8	just trying to get your opinion on whether in your
9	capacity as deputy superintendent you were seeing the
10	GNETS programs operating the way that they are supposed
11	to be operating according to the rules.
12	And so, for example, my last question was, are
13	there any things that you observed at the facilities that
14	you thought were particularly like done particularly
15	effectively. You don't have an opinion on whether there
16	was anything that was being done effectively?
17	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
18	THE WITNESS: Well, okay, so if I could go back
19	to your the the previous part, when you say,
20	according to the the board rule. So, you know, if you
21	look at the scope of what we do at the department, it
22	you know, we receive the funds. We flow through the
23	funds to the LEA. There's an application process that
24	Vickie looks at that has numerous components to it.



There's the budget aspect of it as well.

1	The policies and procedures, that's done, you
2	know, with a cross-functional monitoring and our and
3	our RDA team, and but as far as the the
4	effectiveness, you know, we're just we're not we
5	don't run these programs. We're not in those classrooms.
6	We don't sit on the IEP meetings. We don't you know,
7	we flow through Title you know, 5-, 600 million of
8	Title I funds, but we're not in those classrooms to see
9	how it's implemented.
10	We have end-of-the-year data regarding student
11	achievement, but beyond that, it's just it's you
12	know, it's very difficult to to make that
13	determination. There's certain components that GNETS
14	have to fulfill relative to the grant, but beyond that,
15	the you know, the day-to-day operations, who they're
16	going to hire, what students are in, you know, their
17	GNETS program, if they're gonna run it as a you know,
18	as a school or a site based, every bit of that falls
19	in you know, that's it's all GNETS, so local
20	control.
21	So it's just very difficult to answer your

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And just to be clear, I wasn't asking who was controlling the programs; I was just asking in your capacity as deputy superintendent



question.

22

23

24

SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

observing these facilities, was there anything that you felt was particularly effective that you observed or that was done well? So you're saying you don't have an opinion on --

A Okay.

O -- on that?

MS. JOHNSON: Objection.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: The -- so given the purpose of GNETS, the GNETS facilities that have -- that have more therapeutic supports, more resources for kids, more training for teachers, more integration back into a general ed setting, greater opportunity and access, like their nondisabled peers, then, yeah, those -- those programs -- those programs would be ones that I would say would be more effective.

The transition plan back for students, so that they can transition back into a general ed classroom to the greatest extent possible, that would be what I would say, for effectiveness, that's what would be more effective to -- to me, to better serve and support these children.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And during your site visits, did you observe any facilities that were doing the things that you just named, and I should say that were doing it



well?

A Yes, yes, but -- well, again, and I'm not -there is just so many site visits, and I'm trying to -to think through. And I didn't always -- a lot of times
I didn't have the information, but there were times
where -- there were times when we were going, and you
would see that this child was, you know, going to a
general ed auto mechanics class and fully engaged. There
were, you know, times where if a student was half day or
was able to be on the sports team or, you know, serve
on after-school activities, things like that.

There were, you know, others where, you know, there were a number of opportunities, the staff, where they might have, you know, counselors or school psychologists or a tremendous amount of Wraparound services, or places in the school where outside agencies might come in and work with the kids or work with the families for that additional level of support. Those would be -- I would -- you know, I would say more effective for -- for students.

Q Were there any specific facilities that you remember that stood out in a positive way for -- for doing any of the things that you just named?

A And again, I think if I had not been on so many site visits, I would have been able to go through and



give you specifics. But there -- yeah, there are some sites that -- that do that. I just -- I can't think off the top of my head. It's really more of an amalgam of things that I saw that I was pulling from, and hearing the directors talk about the resources that they provide for students.

Q If you had your notes in front of you, would that help you to recall?

MS. JOHNSON: Objection. That would require her to reveal contents of her notes, so I would instruct the witness not to answer.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Is there anything that you can reference, Ms. Owen, that would help you to recall the facilities that stood out to you in a positive way?

A Not off the top of my head. Not off the top of my head. I'd have to -- I'd have to sit down and -- and think about it some more, just go through the site visits. And then, you know, the budget always helps, to see where the resources are spent as well. But I don't -- you know, I don't have any of that. But that's not something I would typically even -- I'm not at that level.

But just like with all schools there -- there's clearly -- you know, there is -- there is a difference in, you know, how the -- the schools are administrated



1	and	how	they		how	they	utilize	their	resources.
---	-----	-----	------	--	-----	------	---------	-------	------------

Q Okay. Sitting here today, you can't cite one program where you remember the things that you saw that were done particularly well?

MS. JOHNSON: Form, and asked and answered.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: But please don't take the fact that I can't recall that they don't -- that there aren't any. I just -- I can't -- you know, I can't -- I just can't recall off the top of my head. And, you know, some might be -- you know, be strong in certain areas and not as strong in others.

And also, just keep in mind, my visits were just a snapshot of going in and out of these classes and listening to the questions that were -- were asked by your expert, and trying to glean from that what -- what I could. A lot of information I just don't have access to to really have the full picture.

MS. HAMILTON: All right. I think it would be helpful to take maybe a five-minute break, unless,

Ms. Owen or Ms. Johnson, you all need any more time?

MS. JOHNSON: That works for me, if it works

MS. JOHNSON: That works for me, if it works for Shaun.

MS. HAMILTON: All right. So we will pick back up at 3:30.



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are going off the
2	record now at 3:25 p.m.
3	(The deposition was at recess from 3:25 p.m. to
4	3:35 p.m.)
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at
6	3:35 p.m. Please proceed.
7	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Just give me one
8	second. I'm trying to organize my notes here.
9	All right. Ms. Owen, I wanted to ask you about
10	some of the specific programs and facilities that you
11	visited as part of the United States site inspections.
12	You participated in the Horizon Academy site inspection
13	in Valdosta; is that correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And that took place in May of this year; is
16	that right?
17	A I'm relying on your dates.
18	Q Do you remember it taking place within the last
19	few months?
20	A Well, if it was in May, then no. But are you
21	talking about the the second visit? You are saying
22	the first one occurred in May; then there was a second
23	visit within the last few months.
24	Q I'm referring to
25	A There were two different visits, I believe.



1	Q The one at Valdosta?
2	A Right. There were there were two to
3	Valdosta, I believe.
4	Q Okay. And then there was also a site visit to
5	the Moultrie location as well for Horizon Academy. Did
6	you participate in that one as well?
7	A I I believe so. Was it on the same visit?
8	I believe I believe so.
9	Q Okay. Well, I want to focus on the Horizon
10	Academy site inspection in Valdosta. Who is the GNETS
11	director of the Horizon Academy GNETS program?
12	A Sam Clemons, I believe is his name.
13	Q Okay. And I'm going to share a document with
14	you. I'd like for the court reporter I'd like for the
15	court reporter to mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 702
16	(sic).
17	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 703 was marked for
18	identification.)
19	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: This is a May 23rd, 2022
20	letter from Victoria Lill and Andrea Hamilton to Josh
21	Belinfante and Beth Morris. It's a little over two
22	pages.
23	Ms. Owen, have you seen this document before?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Andrea, I just want to point out
25	there was a message that we're on Exhibit 703. I don't



1	know if you need to correct anything.
2	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Ms Ms. Daughtry, can
3	you confirm that in terms of the exhibit count, is this
4	Exhibit 703? I can correct that for the record.
5	THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah, it will be 703.
6	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Great.
7	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: So Ms. Owen, I am showing you
8	Plaintiff's Exhibit 703.
9	MS. HAMILTON: And Ms. Daughtry, I just want to
10	correct that for the record, for you to mark this as
11	Exhibit 703. Thank you.
12	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Ms. Owen, returning back to
13	my question, are you have you seen this document
14	before?
15	A I would need to actually read it.
16	Q And again, Ms. Owen, just so you know what
17	you're looking for, my question is, have you seen this
18	document before?
19	A Yes, I have.
20	Are you going to ask me questions relevant to
21	it? Because I would like to finish reading it. If not,
22	that's fine.
23	Q We'll walk through it together, and you're
24	welcome to scroll up and down as we go, but I think it

might actually be helpful for me to start asking the



1	questions so you'll have a better sense of what what
2	you are looking for.
3	A Okay.
4	MS. JOHNSON: If you feel like you need to read
5	the entire thing for context, though, then feel free to
6	finish reading.
7	THE WITNESS: Can I scroll to the bottom? Do
8	you mind?
9	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Yeah.
10	A Okay. Thank you.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Sorry, I didn't mean to
12	interrupt. There's a bit of a delay.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And Ms. Owen, so just
15	starting here at the beginning of this letter, it notes
16	here that the attendees at the site visit included
17	Victoria Lill and Allison Ewers from the United States,
18	Danielle Hernandez from the State of Georgia, along with
19	Shaun Owen, the deputy superintendent for federal
20	programs, and Hieu Nguyen as counsel for Horizon
21	Academy's fiscal agent.
22	So you do see here where we have noted that you
23	were in attendance at this site visit; is that correct?
24	A Correct.
25	Q And you remember being at this visit; is that



1	correct?
2	A I do.
3	Q The letter here states that sorry, I'm in
4	the second paragraph, that "The Horizon Academy is
5	located within a wing of a sprawling building that once
6	housed Valdosta High School."
7	Is it correct that the Horizon Academy was
8	located in a separate wing of the school?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And then the letter goes on to state, here in
11	the third paragraph, "Grass and plants Grass and
12	plants grew in cracks throughout the sprawling parking
13	lot and in gutters all around the building."
14	Do you agree with that statement?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And then as it continues on, it says, "Light
17	posts across the exterior of the facility were rusted and
18	tilting sideways, with dirty, broken lamps atop."
19	Do you agree with that statement?
20	A I don't recall that specifically, but I'm
21	assuming if it's in this document, it's accurate.
22	Q Is there anything in particular about it that
2.3	vou would disagree with?

No, I'm just -- you asked me if I recall that.



I -- I don't recall that specific thing.

Α

24

SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1	Q And then moving on to the next sentence, it
2	says, "Fencing surrounding the building, parking lots and
3	athletic facilities was broken, severely bent and
4	rusted."
5	Do you agree with that statement?
6	A I I believe I believe that's accurate.
7	Q The letter goes on to state here in this
8	sentence, "As we approached from the parking lot near the
9	entrance to the portion of the building used by the
10	Horizon Academy, we passed multiple broken gutter
11	downspouts lining the facade of the brick building."
12	Do you see that, what I just read?
13	A Yes, I I do. I don't recall that, but
14	again, I'm I'm assuming it's accurate if it's in this
15	document.
16	Q And then in this next sentence, it says, "In
17	those locations, the ground and base of the building
18	where the drain downspouts had broken off was blackened
19	with rot, raising concerns about the integrity of the
20	building's foundation."
21	Do you agree with that characterization of the
22	facility's condition?
23	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
24	You can answer.
25	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall that



1	specifically, but I'm I'm not I'm not objecting, if
2	it's if it's in this document.
3	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Based on your own
4	observations, what were your what were your personal
5	takeaways as the deputy superintendent regarding the
6	exterior of the Horizon Academy facility?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
8	THE WITNESS: In line with this report, or this
9	letter?
10	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Consistent with the letter,
11	but sort of apart from what the United States has noted
12	here, based on your own observations, did you have any
13	other takeaways regarding the exterior of the building?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
15	THE WITNESS: That it was located behind a
16	closed high school.
17	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And what in particular is
18	relevant about it being located behind a closed high
19	school?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, I've just never
22	seen that before where you have a high school that's
23	closed and you have students in a facility attached to
24	a a closed facility. So that you're going around the

building to get to the back of the building to get into



1	GNETS.	I've	just	never	seen	that	before.
---	--------	------	------	-------	------	------	---------

- Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Given that arrangement, did that create any concerns for you about the students' experience attending school in this facility?
 - A Yes.

- Q And what were those concerns?
- A That that was not an appropriate environment for children to be in.
- Q Did you have any other concerns -- and actually, I guess, let me just ask one quick follow-up. When you -- when you say that it's not an appropriate environment for the students to attend, what -- what about that makes it inappropriate?

MS. JOHNSON: Form.

THE WITNESS: Well, you would want -- you would want children attending, you know, a well-kept facility, not attached to something that's closed, and not with the health concerns that were mentioned.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. So continuing on in the letter where it starts to talk about the condition of the interior, so the second sentence reads, "As we entered the wing of the building where the GNETS classrooms are located, we saw water damage and a hole in the ceiling. Each ceiling vent in the hallways, bathrooms and classrooms were covered -- was covered in



UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

and surrounded	l by t	thick		thick	black	dust."	
----------------	--------	-------	--	-------	-------	--------	--

Do you agree with that statement?

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the next sentence, it reads, "In one of the first classrooms we entered where students were present, the ceiling was buckling and warped, particularly so within a foot of where countless live electrical wires were routed in clumps into the ceiling."

Do you agree with this statement?

I don't -- I don't recall that -- that specifically, but again, I'm not contesting it. I just don't recall that particular portion.

The letter then goes on to state, "The boys and girls restrooms utilized by the GNETS students nearest to their classrooms - and the hallway outside of where they are located - reeked of sewage, were filthy and had deteriorating equipment. In the boys restroom, one of three toilets had no partition whatsoever surrounding it, while the other two toilets had dilapidated stall partitions that were pulling away from the wall and stall doors that would not close or lock."

Do you agree with this characterization of the restroom?

Yes, I don't -- I don't know about the -- the sewage smell, but again, I'm not -- I'm not contesting



1	it, but but the rest of that, yes.
2	Q Okay. Do you remember a smell when you were in
3	the bathroom?
4	A Well, I mean, there's a smell in a lot of
5	bathrooms. It's not attached, so I just I'm sure it
6	smelled. I just don't remember the the sewage portion
7	of it.
8	Q Okay. And based on your observations, did you
9	have any other concerns about the condition of the
10	interior of the building of the buildings?
11	A Yes, what's mentioned here.
12	Q Did you have any concerns beyond what's
13	mentioned here?
14	A No, I think I think that captures it.
15	Q I know we were talking about the exterior
16	earlier, but what what were your takeaways regarding
17	whether these issues related to the interior of the
18	building posed a risk to the health and safety of
19	students in the building?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
21	THE WITNESS: The the black mold in the
22	or whatever it was, and I don't know that it was that,
23	but there was black around the air vents. Now, that
24	that is in some other schools, but over the years, but

I don't -- but, yeah, that -- that was -- that was



	concerning,	and	the	other	things	mentioned.
--	-------------	-----	-----	-------	--------	------------

- Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Did you conduct any class -- I'm sorry, did you participate in any of the classroom observations at the Horizon Academy facility?
 - A I did.

- Q What were your takeaways regarding the quality of the instruction at the Horizon Academy facility?
- 8 MS. JOHNSON: Form.
 - THE WITNESS: Well, you know, with all things, it -- it varies. One class, students might be appropriately engaged with the teacher; and in another class, not so much. So it -- it just varied depending on the class.
 - Q BY MS. HAMILTON: With regard to the concerns about the facility, do you know if any action was taken to address those concerns after the site visit?
 - A I believe that was -- the person who took the lead on that was Pat Schofield, who is over buildings and facilities, and I believe he sent someone down to -- to look at it. I believe changes were made in -- in the restrooms, but I don't have any additional information beyond that.
 - Q Okay. And I'm just looking at some other parts of the letter here. It looks like you toured more parts. Here it says, "While touring the area used as a lunchroom



SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

December 12, 2022

and ad hoc music room, we saw numerous live cockroaches." 1 2 Do you agree with this statement? 3 Α I remember -- I remember one or two cockroaches. 4 I don't know if they were alive or not. 5 And then this last part here, "The bathrooms, 6 locker rooms and adjacent hallways in this part of the 7 facility were covered in a thick layer of dust and dirt." 8 Do you agree with this statement? 9 Α I believe that's accurate. 10 I know that you were saying a moment ago that 11 you believe Pat Schofield may have been looking into some 12 of these issues, who is at the State Department of 13 Education. Are students still being served in this 14 facility this school year? 15 MS. JOHNSON: Form. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. HAMILTON: I'm going to stop sharing my 17 18 screen. 19 BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. I'm trying to get 20 a general sense just with regard to the site inspections 21 that you conducted, if there were any -- well, you'll 22 I just have some specific questions about things 23 that you may have seen at any of the sites that you 24 visited. I'm just going to run through a few questions 25 here.



1	Did you visit any school-based GNETS program
2	locations where GNETS students were in separate wings of
3	buildings for their classes?
4	A I recall one that comes to mind. That doesn't
5	mean that there is only one. That just means I I do
6	recall I do recall one.
7	Q Okay. Which which program was that?
8	A I I don't recall the program. I think it
9	was in Putnam County.
10	Q And you are saying that's the only program that
11	you remember where GNETS students were in separate wings?
12	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
13	THE WITNESS: There there may be I'm
14	trying to think of a more recent visit if in Rockdale.
15	I I don't recall if that was if that entire hallway
16	was students with disabilities or if there were a number
17	of them, their classrooms grouped together. I don't
18	recall, but I do remember there were a number of
19	classrooms in the same area that and that now, that
20	was one that there wasn't a GNETS at because they were in
21	a
22	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: When you say
23	A a separate facility.
24	Q I'm sorry, say that again.
25	A That was the that was the Rockdale site.



1	And from my understanding, I don't know that there's a
2	GNETS there. I think their students may go to a they
3	may go to a site-based or is that Rockdale? Hang on.
4	Or was it Trion's?
5	It was the last one that we visited that day.
6	I'm just I'm trying to think through. That wasn't
7	Rockdale. I think it was the one after Rockdale. I
8	don't recall exactly, but I know the site did not have
9	a didn't have a GNETS there, and students were
10	there were a number of students with disabilities
11	classrooms all in the same area, but again, I don't know
12	if that was the entire hallway.
13	Q And is there anything that would help to
14	refresh your recollection on the specifics?
15	A Looking at the calendar for that day to see
16	what site that was.
17	Q Did you observe any school-based GNETS program
18	locations where GNETS students used separate entrances
19	from students in the general education environment?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Do you remember which ones in particular?
22	A I believe one in I believe it's Savannah.
23	It's attached to a large, newer high school. There was,

I believe, one of the -- the Elam -- one of the Elam

facilities, I believe, that's attached to a high school.



24

1	Those are the those are the two that are
2	that are that are coming to mind right off the top of
3	my head.
4	Q Okay. And is it possible that there are other
5	facilities where students are using separate entrances,
6	but you don't remember the details about them?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Object to the form.
8	THE WITNESS: It is possible.
9	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: For the locations, the two
10	locations that you did mention just now where GNETS
11	students used separate entrances, did any of those
12	entrances have medical not medical, excuse me metal
13	detectors?
14	A I think I think the one in Savannah had a
15	metal detector, but it may not it may have been pushed
16	to the side and not in use. The one in Elam, I
17	believe I believe may have had a metal detector, but I
18	believe so did the general the general ed high school,
19	the entrance. But again, I could be wrong, but I do
20	remember metal detectors.
21	Q Okay. Did you visit any school-based GNETS
22	program locations where GNETS students had separate
23	playground equipment from the equipment used by students



in the general education school setting?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

24

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, and and again, this
2	doesn't mean this is all of them, but Columbia, I think,
3	I believe that one is attached to an elementary school
4	and has a separate playground area. And there may be
5	more; I'm just not sure off the top of my head.
6	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Did you visit any
7	school-based GNETS program locations where the GNETS
8	students ate lunch in their classrooms separate from
9	students in the general education setting?
10	A I'm sorry, will you repeat that?
11	Q Sure. Did you visit any school-based GNETS
12	program locations where GNETS students ate lunch in their
13	classrooms separate from students in the general
14	education setting?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Which programs in particular?
18	A Again, I just one that comes to mind was in
19	the North Georgia High School, but I think in that
20	instance, the students had the choice of whether or not
21	they wanted to eat with the general ed students or not.
22	And also, this is some of this occurred during COVID,
23	so in some instances, everybody was eating in their
	so in some instances, everybody was eating in their

During your site visits, did you observe



Q

SONIA SHAUN OWEN UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1	therapeutic services and supports being offered at each
2	of the GNETS program locations?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
4	THE WITNESS: Now, that's that's hard to
5	answer, because if the question was specifically asked,
6	then you might know, but there could be therapeutic
7	supports and services that weren't happening at the time.
8	Because again, we were only there for just a small
9	portion of time.
10	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Would you observe high levels
11	of student engagement across all of the GNETS program
12	locations that you visited?
13	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
14	THE WITNESS: No.
15	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Were there any
16	particular programs that stood out to you that were
17	either that were good examples of providing
18	instruction that resulted in high levels of student
19	engagement?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, as a just as a general
22	rule, the classrooms where teachers were either up doing
23	direct instruction or in some cases sitting down in small
24	groups with the students or in some cases one-on-one



25

instruction with students.

1	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And I guess the
2	reverse of that, what would it have looked like to see,
3	like in a program that would have had low levels of
4	student engagement, what would that have looked like?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
6	THE WITNESS: Students disengaged, not paying
7	attention. Solely computer-based instruction, yeah.
8	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Do you remember, I guess,
9	like specific program names where you saw high levels of
10	student engagement, taking what you just said into
11	account?
12	A No, because again, we might go into ten
13	different classrooms at varying levels, and then, you
14	know, head to the next site, so it I mean, if I went
15	into a general ed school and did observations, I would
16	expect, you know, there's a variety of levels of
17	engagement, so but but it's hard it's hard for
18	me to recall that program, you know, and that teacher,
19	because again, there were so many classrooms that we
20	we went into.
21	Q And were there any specific programs where you
22	consistently saw low levels of student engagement?
23	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
24	THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, again, just as a

general rule, if all of your instruction is primarily on

a computer, then just typically, that doesn't provoke a			
lot of student engagement. So typically, if there is			
student engagement, the teacher is actively involved, or			
there's a project of some sort that the kids are involved			
with.			

- Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And I know earlier we were talking about the condition of the facilities at the Horizon Academy Valdosta site. Were there any other GNETS program locations where you had concerns about the condition of the facility?
 - A Give me a minute, if you will.
- 12 O Sure.

- A Nothing -- nothing comes to mind with, you know, the condition of the facility that -- that's standing out with me. Yeah, I'm just trying to think through. I -- I can't recall off the top of my head something that's sticking out.
- Q Is there anything that would help you remember if there were particular facilities that stood out due to the quality of the facility?
- A I would -- I would just have to go back and think through the -- think through the visits. Nothing on hand that -- that would jog my memory.
- Sorry, I'm -- I'm trying to think through. I can't think of anything right this second.



1	Q Are you familiar with the term "seclusion
2	rooms"?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What are seclusion rooms?
5	A Well, they are not supposed to exist. They're,
6	hopefully, an antiquated practice where children would be
7	put by themselves and isolated.
8	Q Okay. Are there any particular markers that
9	would identify a room as a seclusion room?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
11	THE WITNESS: Well, that's a little that's a
12	little difficult, because I know some rooms are are
13	larger and used for you know, according to what the
14	GNETS facilitators have shared, they are used for, you
15	know, timeout. But I think the marker would be a a
16	small room that has a has a door that potentially
17	locks. But again, those are not supposed to be in
18	existence.
19	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And when you say they are not
20	supposed to be in existence, is there a particular rule
21	or a policy to that effect?
22	A Our seclusion and restraint rule.
23	Q And is that a board rule?
24	A Yes.
25	Q During your participation sorry. During



your participation in the United States site visits, did you visit any GNETS program locations where you observed seclusion rooms?

A There was a -- I believe it was Savannah, their elementary and middle school facility had, I believe, two rooms that would have potentially met that criteria and may have at one point prior to the board rule been used for that purpose. They -- I don't believe they have -- I don't believe they have doors. There may have been three at that facility.

And I think you mentioned earlier about someone mentioning taking a picture, telling me to take a picture. I think that was what -- one of the more recent sites where your expert had taken a picture where there was a large room, and at one point, possibly before the board rule, that may have been used as a seclusion room. But the -- the door had been removed, and we were told that it was no longer used for that purpose.

Q Okay. And I don't know if this helps, but when I mentioned that earlier, it was in connection with the Tri-City High School GNETS facility.

- A Okay.
- Q Do you recall if that's the one that you are thinking of?
 - A Do you have a date for that? Was it a more



1	recent	77iqi+2
Τ.	recent	VISIL:

- Q It would have been the North Metro during the May -- late April, early May site visit of this year.
- A I don't -- I'm not too sure. May sounds too far -- May sounds too far back, so I -- I'm not sure on that one. I'm not sure on that one.
- Q The one that you remember, are you thinking that's more in the time frame of the last month or so?
 - A The last month or two.
- Q Okay. Are there any other GNETS program locations where you either observed seclusion rooms or rooms that had the potential markers that it could have been a seclusion room?
- MS. JOHNSON: Form.
 - THE WITNESS: You know, again, it's hard -it's hard to tell because, you know, we don't run them,
 so we are going by what the director is telling us.

I know that Rutland Academy upstairs, I think had one or two rooms upstairs; that they're large rooms and they've got -- and I believe they called those "cool-down rooms." And a number of these facilities have areas where, you know, whether they are de-escalation rooms or things of that nature. But when the question is asked, you know, we're always told that they're -- they don't do that and it's not used for that purpose.



used for?

	Q	ВУ	MS.	HAM	ILTO	N:	Okay.	I	And	Ι	gues	SS	for	th	ıe
two	room	s tł	nat :	you	ment	ione	d in	Sav	vann	ıah	tha	at	hav	e -	· _
100	ked l	ike	the	у со	uld	have	pote	enti	iall	-У	beer	ı s	ecl	ısi	.on
roor	ns. d	id t	he o	dire	ctor	ind	icate	ίw ج	nat	t.h	ose	ro	oms	w∈	re

A It's -- it's been a while. I'm trying to think back. I believe he said that it's -- it's used for kids to sort of calm down, yeah. And because then I think the expert had said something about making it more visually appealing for children so that it doesn't resemble a seclusion room.

Q Okay. All right. I'm going to ask you about a few more specific GNETS programs that you visited. I know we were talking about Horizon Academy in Valdosta earlier; and as you had noted, there was a second Horizon Academy facility that we visited, and it was the location at Moultrie, M-o-u-l-t-r-i-e.

Do you recall that visit?

A I mean, it's not sticking out in my -- it's not sticking out in my head.

Q And I don't know if this helps at all, but it took place on Friday, October 28th, of this year.

A Okay. It's not sticking out, but, I mean, I'll do the best I can to answer your questions.

Q Okay. That's fine. And just let me know if



		d 1	_	
L	you	aon.	L	remember

But that visit, I'm trying to confirm what type of GNETS program you would have seen. Was that a center-based or a school-based GNETS program?

A I'm just not -- I'm not -- I'm not recalling that particular site. It's -- it's just not sticking out with me, I'm sorry.

Q Okay. And I guess just to confirm, you are not recalling the site. Do you remember visiting it, just you don't remember details about it, or you don't remember the visit?

A Yeah, I just don't -- I think it was at the end of a -- yeah, I don't -- that particular site is just not -- not standing out in my mind.

Q All right. I want to return back to the Sand Hills GNETS program, program visits that were conducted in May. So one of the sites that we visited was the Tubman Education Center. This is the site where you gave the example about the incident when the teacher was using the restorative circle.

What were your impressions of the condition of the facility?

A It's an old, I believe high school building. I mean, nothing really -- nothing stood out in particular regarding the condition of the building at that site.



1	Q And I know we talked a bit about the the
2	incident that you observed. Did you have any other
3	observations regarding the quality of instruction at that
4	particular location?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
6	THE WITNESS: I know what but I think I
7	think de-escalating and therapeutic supports are are
8	important to keep keep kids engaged, so But yeah,
9	that's Yeah.
10	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And the Sand Hills GNETS
11	program had two locations. We also visited the first
12	location in Thomson.
13	A Yeah.
14	Q What were your impressions of the condition of
15	the Thomson facility?
16	A I'm it's an old building that could probably
17	use some maintenance and upkeep.
18	Q Were there any particular were there any
19	particular things that you observed about the facility
20	that could benefit from maintenance and upkeep?
21	A Yes. I I just one of the rooms, I
22	remember, was seemed to be an issue with the
23	air-conditioning unit, the exterior, you know, the
24	general upkeep, and then access to services.

And what do you mean by "access to services"?



25

1	A A playground, a gym. I don't know that there
2	was a a library there. I don't know that there was a
3	cafeteria there. Yeah.
4	Q Okay. And relatedly, did you have any concerns
5	about the quality of the instruction that you observed?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
7	THE WITNESS: Nothing nothing's standing out
8	in particular regarding the regarding instruction,
9	that I can recall at this time.
10	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And I know if we
11	continued going through every single program you visited,
12	we would be here for many more hours, so I'm going to
13	transition from that. But just as a wrap-up, based on
14	your observations while visiting all of these GNETS
15	program locations, what recommendations would you make,
16	if any, to improve the GNETS program?
17	MS. JOHNSON: Form.
18	THE WITNESS: I mean, in a perfect world, a
19	great deal of therapeutic support, transitioning back as
20	much as possible to a general education setting,
21	wraparound services for the child and for the family.
22	You know, access to access to to everything that a
23	general ed student would have so that these children have

MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And I want to pause just



24

25

good quality school experience.

1	to do a quick time check.	Patrick,	can you	let u	s know
2	how long we've been going?				

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are pushing six and a half hours at this point.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. Ms. Owen, I want to transition now to talk a bit about funding and budget responsibilities that you have as deputy superintendent, particularly as it pertains to GNETS.

In the Governor's budget each year, GNETS has a line item, correct?

A Correct.

Q How much did GNETS receive for the current fiscal year total?

A I don't have exact in front of me, but it was approximately 53 million in state funds, 7.3 million in federal funds. Around 900,000 in -- that went to the 11 sites for additional therapeutic support. Around 92,000 for i-Ready to support math and English language arts instruction. And then I believe through ESSER, we received a three-year grant that may be around 3 million total that was specifically designed for a three-year increment; 60,000 a year going to the 24 GNETS facilities specifically and only for therapeutic supports and services.

And I believe that we received -- when the



1	budget cuts came originally, I believe in 2020, when we
2	were talking about the 10 percent decline earlier, I
3	believe we received maybe 6 million I don't have the
4	board item in front of me for to make up the
5	difference of the 10 percent cut that we were we were
6	looking at, that went out by an allocation similar to the
7	methodology used for the preliminary allocations.
8	So that's and I may be missing something,

So that's -- and I may be missing something, but I -- I think that's primarily -- I think that's primarily it.

Q Okay. Particularly for the state and federal allotment, you mentioned 53 million for state, 7.3 million for federal, so is that accurate to say that from the state grant and the federal funds, that that's around 60 million that they received this school year?

A Yeah, around there.

Q Okay. And I know you mentioned the budget cuts. What's been the range of the GNETS total state and federal allotments over the last few years?

A It's been in that -- in that range. I think three years ago there was a -- there was a drop. There was actually a -- there's been a decline. I think a couple of years ago it was almost at 70 million, then it went to 60 million. Again, I don't have the board item in front of me, but then I noticed a notable drop, and so



I -- I did ask Vickie about that, just as a board item topic, and she said that it was due to the -- the reduction in GNETS students, and that's what impacted that overall decline in funds. But it's been lower the last several years than it was five years prior.

Q Okay. And I know earlier you mentioned the reduction in GNETS students as well, and you mentioned one of the reasons for that was the training and messaging that had been provided to LEAs to look more closely at the continuum of services to ensure students are truly in their LRE.

What training and messaging were you referring to?

A I -- I think after -- well, I mean, that's been our messaging all along, that the -- you have to ensure that there is continuum services, because it truly has to be the child's least restrictive environment, and the -- and essentially keeping them out of residential treatment facilities.

But I think probably the impetus behind that also was, I believe after the DOJ letter, I believe that Nakeba Rahming and Alexa Ross, I think they had gone out and were actually going through IEPs and -- and looking at a much deeper level at that. So I'm sure that was probably their messaging. It's certainly been our



messaging		¬ ¬
messaging	as	$W \cap I$
IIICDD AG TIIG	αD	W C T T •

Q And by -- when you say going through IEPs, by doing so, is it your understanding that they were then identifying students who no longer needed to be served in GNETS?

MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to object to this question, to the extent it requires you to reveal any attorney-client privileged communications if Alexa was involved.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MS. JOHNSON: But if you are able to respond without revealing any privilege.

THE WITNESS: And I really, I can't answer. I don't know the specifics of that.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And then I know earlier when we were talking, you mentioned earlier that there was a high amount of anxiety and concern among the GNETS directors when the possibility arose about the change in the funding stream for GNETS.

What was your understanding of the reason for their anxiety and concern?

A Well, just like any program where anybody running -- you know, if you were a school building leader, you need to know your budget so that you can plan. And the bulk of it goes to hire staff, and staff



December 12, 2022

wants to know if they are going to have a position
available or if they need to seek employment elsewhere.
But, you know, a lot goes into a lot of early planning
has to go into running a program or running a school,
either way.

Q Was it your understanding that the GNETS directors were concerned that these changes in funding would have an impact on their ability to staff their programs and serve their students?

A Yes.

MS. JOHNSON:

Q Based on your experience at the State DOE and with the GNETS program in general, do you think that the GNETS students' needs could be served, or if they could still be served if the funding formula for GNETS shifted from a line item in the state budget to the QBE formula?

THE WITNESS: Well, as long as there's funding there to support the needs of -- of the students, then they should be able to be served. It's not as though the funding is, you know, going away completely. It would --

Object to form.

it's just changing the way in which it's allocated out to the LEAs.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Based on your experience at the State DOE and with the GNETS program, do you anticipate that if there were a change in the funding of



December 12, 2022

GNETS from a line item to the QBE structure, that it would have a negative impact on the GNETS program in any way?

MS. JOHNSON: Form.

THE WITNESS: You know, that -- it's hard for me to answer that because, one, it's been done this way for so long. I really -- it's hard for me to -- to -- to say. But the main thing is the students receiving the funding they need for the -- for their support, and that ultimately resides with the LEAs, regardless of how -- whether it's administered through a program or within the LEA itself, it still -- the LEAs still have the responsibility to fight for these children.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Okay. And I know earlier you said the General Assembly and the Governor's office, that they are the ones who ultimately make these decisions about the -- like how GNETS gets funded. If you were in control and could decide the manner through which GNETS could be funded, whether that be the line items in the current form or using the QBE structure, is there a particular method that you would choose?

A You know, I -- I really -- I don't know. I know I keep saying it, but honestly, I just -- at the end of the day, I just want to make sure these kids, yeah, that their needs are met.



1	Q Okay. And is there any reason to think that
2	there would be a decrease in the GNETS funding provided
3	to the program if the structure switched from a line item
4	in the state budget to the QBE structure?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
6	THE WITNESS: That's hard to speculate, but,
7	you know, I think that I think that they're aware that
8	students need additional support and that it cost money,
9	and I I think they're just looking to support the
10	students, you know, one way or the other. But but
11	it's hard to speculate on that.
12	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Patrick, I want to do
13	another time check. Can you let me know exactly how much
14	time we've been on the clock?
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah. I show seven hours
16	occurring at 5:09 p.m., if that helps.
17	MS. HAMILTON: At 5:09, okay. Thanks.
18	I'd like to take a five-minute break, and then
19	we can reconvene.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Is five long enough? Do you need
21	more?
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's fine.
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We will go off the
24	record at 4:52 p.m.
25	(The deposition was at recess from 4:52 p.m. to



.			`
L	5:01	p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:01. Please proceed.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Ms. Owen, I'm just going to ask you a few other questions about other things related to the GNETS program. Are you familiar with the GNETS strategic plan?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And what is the strategic plan?
- A It's a -- it's a six-part plan that the GNETS facilities have to complete every year and then evaluate that, and then it also ties into their budget. So they are looking at six different areas, and then providing evidence of whether it's operational or emergent or nonexistent.
 - Q Okay. What are those six areas?
- A It's leadership and accountability. It's therapeutics and behavioral support. It's academic instruction. It's financial and fiscal management. It's engage -- I know this isn't exactly, but engagement with community support and parent is, I think, one of them. And then the last one is the actual fiscal -- physical structures.
- Q And what role, if any, does the strategic plan play with regard to the funding for the GNETS program?



December 12, 2022

In other words, is it used in any way to determine whether the programs are complying with their requirement?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. So it's part of about 20 different pieces and documents that have to be provided as part of the application process before the -- they can receive the funding, and then it's also used to sort of -- like with any grant, you have to evaluate the program and the effectiveness of the program that you are running. So it's sort of used in that -- in that way so that they can continue to reevaluate and self-assess and make changes as needed and sort of decide on what their priorities are for that upcoming year based on that needs assessment.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: Have you been involved in any of the changes to the strategic plan process?

A No. That was done, I think, in 2020, about the time I came on. I can't remember exactly, but I was not involved in that.

Q Okay. And there was -- I want to return back to, let's see, I believe it was Plaintiff's Exhibit 701 (sic). I will put this back up on the screen.

So on the screen I'm sharing the document that we previously introduced as Plaintiff's Exhibit 701



(sic). And this was the e-mail from Vickie Cleveland to
you, Ms. Owen, and Zelphine Smith-Dixon, that had a
weekly agenda. And I wanted to turn back to this weekly
agenda item for strategic plan reviews and ask you a few
quick questions here.

One of the things that Ms. Cleveland had noted here at the top, she had said, "Discuss strategic plan rating change with Zel and Shaun."

And I wanted to see if you could explain what she was referring to when she referenced a "strategic plan rating change"?

A I -- honestly, I don't -- I don't recall. I don't know what it was prior. And again, this is one of a bunch of other items on there, so probably this would be something, I'm sure, that she might have worked with Zel on and maybe mentioned it. And I'm not saying that she didn't. I'm just saying that that conversation from two years ago does not stand out in my mind.

Q And then a little further down, she mentions here, "Eight GNETS scheduled for reviews for FY21; do we need to consider putting them all back into rotation?"

Were you aware that --

- A Again --
- Q No, go ahead.
- A No, no, I'm sorry. I interrupted.



Q Yeah, I guess, I'm, first of all, just curious
if you were aware that the GNETS program switched from
doing reviews, like including like site visits for all of
the GNETS programs, and shifted to just doing it for a
select number during this time frame?

A Well, you know, just for context, we shut down, period, with the site visits. So we used to in federal programs travel extensively. And for the most part, for almost two years, we were doing very, very little traveling actually across the entire agency.

So I don't -- and I don't know if that's a direct impact of that. I'm not sure. I know that Vickie, you know, said that they were trying to streamline processes, so anyplace they could, if they could put things, you know, in the grant application so that they weren't being redundant with the work that they were requiring of the GNETS. So I know that was one of the focuses.

But she does meet with -- like this strategic plan, they are doing -- she's doing end-of-the-year wrap-ups this year, where they've gone through and she's met with all 24 sites to -- to review, you know, their information, everything that's -- that they've been working on.

Q To the extent that changes are made to the



December 12, 2022

review	process,	would	you	be	part	of	that	decision-making
process	5?							

A You know, typically -- typically I -- at my level, I wouldn't. I would see it in some cases, depending on I might review it. I am obviously more involved with this program due to the ongoing litigation, but -- but typically, that would be something that the program manager, the specialist, with input from the LEAs or the GNETS would be working on in conjunction with their director.

Q Have you ever participated in one of the strategic plan reviews alongside Ms. Cleveland in one of the GNETS programs?

A No, not that I can -- no, not that I can recall.

Q Okay. All right. Switching gears, the question I have for you with regard to interagency collaboration, do you collaborate with or work with any of the officials at -- let me stop sharing.

MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Let's see. All right. I see a message saying that was Exhibit 702, and I do not have -- I noted in my notes that that was 701. I don't know, Marcie, if there's a way that you would be able to double-check.

THE REPORTER: Let me search for 702.



MS	. HAMILTON:	Okay.	So that	should	be what
was sharing	a moment ago	o. So i	f I can,	again,	just
correct on	the record th	nat that	last do	cument	that was
shared was]	previously ma	arked as	702, no	ot 701.	Great.

Q BY MS. HAMILTON: And then, Ms. Owen, I was about to ask you if you ever collaborated with any of the officials at the department -- sorry, at DBHDD in connection with the GNETS program?

A I recall Dr. Smith-Dixon would have meetings with DBHDD. I sat in on a couple of those meetings. I don't -- I don't recall anything specific to GNETS as part of those.

Q Okay. To the extent that Zelphine Smith-Dixon was meeting with individuals at DBHDD and that you sat in on those meetings, what was the subject matter at those meetings?

A It's been a -- it's been a couple years ago, and I -- I really -- I don't recall off the top of my head, just because it was one of many, many meetings that we've had.

Oh, one thing I do recall is just the -- the ability for more step-down facilities within the state of Georgia relative to the residential treatment facilities, where a number of our students are outside of state. So more opportunities for step-down facilities within the



Τ	state of Georgia is the primary thing that I recall.
2	Q Is GNETS considered a step-down facility?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
4	THE WITNESS: I mean, not in the eyes of how
5	how I think they were discussing it, which is,
6	essentially, a transition more I think they may be
7	looking at it more as somewhat of an, also, residential,
8	where there's a possibly a living component to that.
9	Whereas, GNETS does not have that.
10	But I believe it's it was it was more
11	along those lines, to actually help kids transition back
12	to their home environment.
13	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Patrick, can you do one
14	more time check, please?
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry there. My buttons
16	weren't working.
17	Yeah, I show at seven hours occurring in about
18	four minutes.
19	MS. HAMILTON: In how many minutes? I'm sorry,
20	I didn't hear you.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Four minutes.
22	MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Thank you.
23	Q BY MS. HAMILTON: All right. And Ms. Owen, my
24	last question about your communications with DBHDD, have
25	you had any communications with the agency with regard to



increasin	ng se	ervice	I'm	sorry,	increas	sing	g the
availabil	lity	of the	rapeut	ic and	behavio	ral	health
services	for	studen	ts wit	h disak	oilities	in	Georgia?

A So I -- I have not had those discussions. I know that there was discussions around Apex and it being more pervasive throughout GNETS, but then from my understanding, the stance was that it would be a redundancy of services because Apex serves the LEA, and the LEA, the children ultimately are part of that, that LEA.

But that's the only -- you know, that's the only discussion that I know of relative to that. That's not to say there weren't some. I just was not part or privy to that.

Q Okay. And who else was part of the discussion that you just mentioned about Apex?

A Again, I -- I think that may have been Dr. Smith-Dixon.

Q Okay. And what would have been the time frame for when that discussion occurred?

A That one I -- that one I don't really know.

Q Okay. I guess, just roughly, are you -- was that a discussion that occurred in the last month -- last few months, or is it a discussion from a few years ago?

A No, because Dr. Smith-Dixon has been -- she's



been gone maybe a year now from the from the DOE, so
it would have been earlier. And again, if she was the
more than likely that would have been the contact person
for something of that nature.

Q Okay. So a few years ago when Dr. Smith-Dixon was in her position as a state director?

A I believe.

MS. HAMILTON: Okay. So switching gears, I would just like to note for the record that the United States has requested Ms. Owen's notes and photographs of site visits in discovery. The State has objected to producing those notes and photographs on the grounds that they are privileged. Subject to any additional questions that the United States may have, should the privilege asserted by the State be overcome or otherwise changed, we have no further questions at this time.

MS. JOHNSON: And I don't have any questions.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. If I can just confirm orders before we go off the record. Plaintiff would like the transcript and synchronized video, correct,

Ms. Hamilton?

MS. HAMILTON: Yes, consistent with our standing order.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. And the same thing for the defense, Ms. Johnson?



December 12, 2022

MS. JOHNSON: No, we just need the transcript. No video. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Very good. If there is nothing else for today's record, we'll now go off the recorded at 5:18 p.m. (The deposition concluded at 5:18 p.m.)



1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF GEORGIA)
3	COUNTY OF DEKALB)
4	
5	I, Marcella Daughtry, a Certified Reporter in the State of Georgia and State of California, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing deposition was taken before me in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia; that an oath
7	or affirmation was duly administered to the witness, SONIA SHAUN OWEN; that the questions propounded to the
8	witness and the answers of the witness thereto were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting; that the transcript is a full, true and accurate record of the proceeding, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
11	The witness herein, SONIA SHAUN OWEN, has requested signature.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related
13 14	to any of the parties nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.
	IN MITTINESS WHEDEOE I have sot my hand in my
15 16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my office in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, this 21st day of December, 2022.
	zist day of December, 2022.
17	
18	M. M. In. (Like)
19	1 marcella Dancton
20	Marcella Daughtry, RPR, RMR GA License No. 6595-1471-3597-5424
21	California CSR No. 14315
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	United States of America v. State of Georgia J8828138
2	
3	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
4	
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that I
6	have read the entire transcript of my deposition taken in
7	the above-captioned matter or the same has been read to
8	me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except
9	for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by
10	me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the
11	understanding that I offer these changes as if still
12	under oath.
13	
14	Signed on theday
15	of20
16	
17	
18	
19	SONIA SHAUN OWEN
20	SONIA SHAON OWEN
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1			DEPOS	SITION E J8828		SHEET
2						
3	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
4						
5	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
6						
7	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
8						
9	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
10						
11	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
12						
13	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
14						
15	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
16						
17	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
18						
19	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
20						
21	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
22						
23	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
24	SIGNATURE:]	DATE:
25		SONIA	SHAUN	OWEN		



1			DEPOS	SITION E	ERRATA	SHEET
2				J8828	3138	
3	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
4						
5	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
6						
7	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
8						
9	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
10						
11	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
12						
13	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
14						
15	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
16						
17	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
18						
19	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
20						
21	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
22						
23	Page No	_Line	No	_Change	to:	
24	SIGNATURE:					DATE:
25		SONIA	SHAUN	OWEN		

